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Abstract  JAK inhibitors target specific inflammatory cytokines involved in various inflammatory diseases. Four molecules have been approved for dermatological use: upadacitinib, baricitinib, abrocitinib and topical ruxolitinib. Off-label prescriptions for other dermatological conditions have been reported. We conducted a narrative review of the literature to assess the long-term safety profile of currently approved JAK inhibitors in dermatology, and their off-label use in skin disorders. We performed literature searches with Pubmed and Google Scholar from January 2000 to January 2023, using the keywords “Janus kinase inhibitors”, “JAK inhibitors”, “off-label”, “dermatology”, “safety”, “adverse events”, “ruxolitinib”, “upadacitinib”, “abrocitinib” and “baricitinib”. Our search yielded a total of 37 dermatological disorders with studies supporting the use of these JAK inhibitors. Preliminary studies indicate that JAK inhibitors generally have a favorable safety profile and can be considered as an option in many dermatological disorders.

© 2023 AEDV. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Perfil de seguridad a largo plazo y usos fuera de indicación de los inhibidores de JAK en dermatología

Resumen  Los inhibidores de JAK actúan bloqueando la acción de ciertas citoquinas inflamatorias involucradas en varias enfermedades inflamatorias. Cuatro moléculas han sido aprobadas para uso en dermatología: upadacitinib, baricitinib, abrocitinib y ruxolitinib tópico. Se han reportado usos fuera de indicación para diferentes enfermedades dermatológicas. Se realizó una revisión narrativa de la literatura sobre la seguridad a largo plazo de los inhibidores de JAK.
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Introduction

In recent years, the field of dermatology has witnessed significant advancements with the development of multiple biological drugs and small molecules that selectively target specific molecules within the immune system. One particularly noteworthy signaling pathway, implicated in both innate and adaptive immunity, is the JAK–STAT pathway. The JAK–STAT pathway involves intracellular tyrosine kinases called Janus kinases (JAKs), which are comprised of four isoforms: JAK1, JAK2, JAK3, and TYK2. JAK inhibitors act by reversibly inhibiting JAK phosphorylation through occupation of the catalytic ATP-binding site. While more selective JAK inhibitors may avoid adverse events associated with non-desired JAK isoforms, the long-term safety implications of this selectivity remain unclear.

Oral upadacitinib and abrocitinib (selective JAK 1 inhibitors), and oral baricitinib and topical ruxolitinib (JAK1/2 inhibitors) have been approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and/or the European Medicines Agency (EMA) for several dermatologic indications (Table 1). In this study, we aim to review the long-term safety profile of these JAK inhibitors in dermatology and describe their off-label use in skin disorders.

Methods


Results

Long-term safety profile

JAK inhibitors are commonly associated with various adverse events. These include cytopenias, urinary and upper respiratory tract infections, herpes virus reactivation, nausea, diarrhea, headache, alteration of liver function tests, hypercholesterolemia and increase in creatine phosphokinase (CPK). More serious and rare adverse events comprise thromboembolic events, reactivation of hepatitis B virus (HBV), disseminated tuberculosis, gastrointestinal perforation (particularly tofacitinib), and solid cancers. Rare dermatologic adverse events include non-melanoma skin cancer, disseminated molluscum contagiosum, and drug-induced reactions.

On September 1st, 2021, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) reviewed the results of the post-marketing safety trial that compared tofacitinib with tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF-α) inhibitors in rheumatoid arthritis. The study involved patients aged ≥50 years who were concurrently treated with methotrexate and had preexisting cardiovascular risk factors. It was concluded that tofacitinib posed an increased risk of major cardiovascular events (MACE), blood clots, malignancies, and death. Based on these findings, the FDA issued a Boxed Warning, which was also extended to other JAK inhibitors that had not been evaluated in similar clinical trials. However, a study analyzing an extensive dataset of 126,815 adverse events reports associated with the use of JAK inhibitors failed to identify any statistically significant increase in major cardiovascular events.

Furthermore, two meta-analyses investigating JAK inhibitors in inflammatory diseases and atopic dermatitis reported a similar incidence of venous thromboembolism compared to controls.

Data from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) suggest that certain adverse effects may act in a dose-dependent manner, due to off-target effects.

Abrocitinib

In a study evaluating the long-term incidence rates of serious adverse events from a cohort of the integrated safety analysis study for abrocitinib with 2856 patients and 1614 person years (PY), abrocitinib at doses of 100 mg and 200 mg showed 0.6 and 0.4 non-melanoma skin cancers/100PY; 0.6 and 0.2 MACE events/100PY, and 0.0 and 0.4 venous thromboembolic events (VTE)/100PY, respectively. Other malignancies (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer) occurred at a rate of
Dose-related adverse events included mainly nausea, headache, acne, and thrombocytopenia. Incidence rates were 2.65/100PY and 2.33/100PY for serious infection, 2.04/100PY and 4.34/100PY for herpes zoster, and 8.73/100PY and 11.83/100PY for herpes simplex in the 100 mg and 200 mg groups, respectively. Three deaths were reported, attributed to gastric carcinoma, sudden death, and COVID-19.

In adolescents with atopic dermatitis, the safety of oral abrocitinib has been evaluated in a phase 3 placebo-controlled RCT, demonstrating a lower incidence of serious adverse events compared to the placebo group. A network meta-analysis in atopic dermatitis showed that abrocitinib 100 mg was related to more serious adverse events than dupilumab (OR 2.6). An analysis of platelet counts from data obtained from five clinical trials of abrocitinib reported a higher risk of thrombocytopenia in the first 4 weeks of treatment in patients with low baseline platelet counts.

Baricitinib

The incidence of severe adverse events associated with baricitinib aligns with the inherent risk posed by the specific disease population being treated. Rheumatologic diseases are commonly associated with a higher prevalence of MACE, VTE, malignancies, serious infections, and herpes zoster. Conversely, cases of herpes simplex are more frequently reported among patients with atopic dermatitis.

In a pooled safety analysis of 8 RCTs of baricitinib in 2531 patients with atopic dermatitis, the overall rate of treatment-emergent adverse events was higher in patients under baricitinib compared to those on placebo. The adjusted incidence rate for serious infections was 3.0/100PY and 1.5/100PY for baricitinib 4 mg and 2 mg daily, respectively. Two cases of MACE were reported in patients receiving baricitinib 2 mg and two cases of VTE were observed in those receiving the 4 mg dose. There was one death in the baricitinib 4 mg group, due to gastrointestinal bleeding. Common laboratory-related adverse events were increased CPK, hyperlipidemia, and mild hematologic, hepatic, and renal alterations. The extended safety analysis of baricitinib 2 mg showed similar results.

Among 1303 patients with alopecia areata included in an integrated safety analysis, the most frequent treatment-emergent adverse events were upper respiratory tract infection, nasopharyngitis, headache, acne, and elevated CPK. The analysis identified 34 cases of herpes zoster, three malignancies (excluding non-melanoma skin cancer), one opportunistic infection, one myocardial infarction, one pulmonary embolism, and one gastrointestinal perforation.

Regarding psoriasis, baricitinib underwent a phase 2b clinical trial (n = 271), with comparable safety reports.

Upadacitinib

A meta-analysis from 2 RCTs was conducted to assess the long-term incidence rates of adverse events in patients with atopic dermatitis. The results indicated that upadacitinib at doses of 15 mg and 30 mg demonstrated lower and similar rates of malignancies, respectively, compared to the overall incidence rate of all malignancies in the United States population. Upadacitinib also exhibited low rates of non-melanoma skin cancer (0.4 events/100PY), MACE (0.0–0.1 events/100PY) and VTE (0.1 events/100PY). In both RCT, the incidence of serious adverse events was similar among groups. The most frequently observed treatment-emergent adverse effects (TEAE) included acne, upper respiratory tract infection, elevation in CPK levels, and atopic dermatitis. Additional RCTs conducted in patients with atopic dermatitis reported a similarly favorable safety profile. One study showed slightly higher rates of serious infection (1.1% vs 0.6%), eczema herpeticum (0.3% vs 0%), herpes zoster (2.0% vs 0.9%), and laboratory-related adverse events in patients who received upadacitinib compared to those who received dupilumab. Placebo-controlled trials yielded similar results, although the increased risk of herpes zoster and serious infections was not consistent in every study.

A meta-analysis conducted in patients with psoriatic arthritis showed that a daily dose of upadacitinib at 30 mg was associated with a relative risk of adverse events of 1.20 compared to placebo, while a daily dose of 15 mg did not reach statistical significance. Although another meta-analysis on the safety profile of upadacitinib demonstrated similar rates of TEAE in patients with atopic dermatitis and those with rheumatologic conditions, serious TEAE, herpes zoster and elevations in creatin phosphokinase were less frequent in patients with atopic dermatitis. However, higher
rates of acne were observed in patients with atopic dermatitis. The same study concluded that upadacitinib was associated with a higher risk of herpes zoster, non-melanoma skin cancer, and elevation of CPK when compared with methotrexate and adalimumab.24

**Topical ruxolitinib**

Topical ruxolitinib is generally well-tolerated with adverse effects mainly restricted to local skin reactions (application-site pain, erythema, exfoliation, folliculitis, pruritus).25,26 No systemic toxicity has been reported. Interestingly, in a double-blind study of ruxolitinib 0.5% or 1.0% cream daily or 1.5% cream twice daily in psoriasis, no inhibition of phosphorylated STAT3 was observed in blood cells, and low steady-state plasma concentrations of ruxolitinib were detected.27 A study in atopic dermatitis estimated that systemic exposure corresponded to approximately 4-5% of the dose applied.28 In two phase 3 RCTs in atopic dermatitis (n=1251), a lower rate of application site reactions compared to vehicle was reported.29

**Off-label use of JAK inhibitors in skin disorders**

**Table 2** Off-label use of abrocitinib in dermatology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alopecia areata20</td>
<td>Case report (n=1)</td>
<td>Abrocitinib 200 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Hair regrowth in all affected body areas after 12 weeks. Thick terminal hairs noted on several areas at week 52.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact dermatitis31</td>
<td>Case report (n=1)</td>
<td>Abrocitinib 100 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Full clearance after 8 weeks of treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abrocitinib (Table 2)**

Alopecia areata. A teenage female with atopic dermatitis and alopecia areata universalis received abrocitinib 200 mg/day, following hair regrowth after 12 weeks. Terminal hairs were noted on several areas at week 52.30

Contact dermatitis. An adult patient treated with abrocitinib 100 mg/day for an occupational airborne allergic contact dermatitis reached full clearance after 8 weeks.31

**Baricitinib (Table 3)**

**Autoinflammatory disorders with cutaneous manifestations**. Oral baricitinib has been tested in certain autoinflammatory disorders. Clinical improvement was observed in series and/or reports of patients with CANDLE syndrome,32 CANDLE-like syndrome, 32 Aicardi-Goutières, 3,5 SAV, 3,5 GOF mutations of STAT1, 3,5 refractory Blau syndrome 13 and systemic juvenile idiopathic arthritis. 3,4 In VEXAS syndrome, a retrospective multicenter study showed that baricitinib and upadacitinib led to poorer outcomes than oral ruxolitinib.35 Two case series (n=3 and n=2) of adult Still's disease showed complete (40%) or partial resolution (20%)36 with baricitinib 4 mg/day, and a case of clinical remission after associating baricitinib to anakinra and corticoids was reported.36

**Cutaneous lupus erythematosus**. We found a case series and 4 case reports under baricitinib 4 mg/day. Patients with familial chilblain lupus showed improvement of cutaneous lupus lesions after 3 months.37 Complete clearance with concomitant frontal fibrosing alopecia stabilization,38 systemic lupus erythematosus-associated alopecia improvement39 and near complete resolution of subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus lesions40,41 were achieved in case reports.

**Chronic graft versus host disease (cGVHD)**. A phase 1/2 RCT of baricitinib in cGVHD (n=20), including 19 cases with sclerotic cGVHD, demonstrated an overall response at month 6 of 63% with 88% durable responses.42

**Chronic hand eczema**. A case series (n=2) with baricitinib 4 mg/day showed a near complete resolution after 16 weeks.43

**Chronic nodular prurigo**. Baricitinib 4 mg/day led to rapid improvement in pruritus and prurigo lesions in two case reports of patients with an atopic predisposition,44,45 and in a patient with non-atopic chronic nodular prurigo.46

**Dermatomyositis (adult form)**. An open-label study (n=12) showed that baricitinib 2 mg/12 h decreased the disease activity and improved the Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) score.47 A case series (n=3)48 and a case report49 of baricitinib 4 mg/day also documented promising results.

**Eosinophilic fascitis**. In an adult male with refractory eosinophilic fascitis, baricitinib reduced skin induration and corticoids use, and improved cutaneous elasticity.50

**Frontal fibrosing alopecia**. In a retrospective study (n=5), baricitinib improved 60% of patients with frontal fibrosing alopecia.51

**Generalized morphea**. A male with refractory generalized morphea was treated with baricitinib 2 mg/day, with improvement after 6 months.52

**Granuloma annulare**. All patients from a case series (n=2) and two case reports experienced improvement or remission following 2–8 weeks on baricitinib 3–4 mg/day.53–55

**Hyperfesinophilic syndrome**. A 39-year-old female with hyperesinophilic syndrome presenting with eosinophilic vasculitis on her fingers normalized eosinophil count after three months of baricitinib.56

**Immunobullous diseases**. Case reports have documented the use of baricitinib in the treatment of various disorders, including epidermolysis bullosa pruriginosa, ocular mucous membrane pemphigoid, bullous pemphigoid, and lichen planus pemphigoides. The reported outcomes varied from significant improvement to complete resolution.57–59

**Juvenile dermatomyositis (JDM)**. Baricitinib (4–8 mg/day) significantly reduced disease activity in refractory JDM (n=4) from week 4 in a prospective study.60 Retrospective studies (n=15 and n=3) reported cuta-
### Table 3  Off-label use of baricitinib in dermatology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Autoinflammatory disorders</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Still's disease</td>
<td>2 case series (n = 3 and n = 2)(^{34}) and a case report (n = 1)(^{36})</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Complete resolution was achieved in 3 (50%) cases, partial remission was achieved in 1 case (16.7%) and no remission was achieved in 2 cases (33.3%).</td>
<td>No adverse events leading to dose reduction or discontinuation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aicardi-Goutières syndrome</td>
<td>Case series (n = 2)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Skin improvement after treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blau syndrome</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg/day</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Stabilization of cutaneous, ocular, and joint manifestations after switching from tofacitinib to baricitinib 4 mg/day due to lymphopenia.</td>
<td>Transient lymphopenia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CANDLE syndrome</strong></td>
<td>Case series (n = 11)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 0.1–10 mg daily</td>
<td>Diary score reduction (DDS) criteria, remission time.</td>
<td>83% of the patients showed clinical improvement and in the daily diary score (DDS) and/or reduction in the use of prednisone. 36% of the patients had increased remission time.</td>
<td>Frequent infections (including sepsis, cerebrovascular disorder, osteonecrosis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CANDLE-like syndrome</td>
<td>Case series (n = 4)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 0.5–9 mg daily</td>
<td>Diary score reduction (DDS) criteria, decrease in the corticosteroids dose.</td>
<td>DDS improvement: 25%. Decrease in the dose of corticoids: 50%.</td>
<td>Infections (including sepsis, cerebrovascular disorder, osteonecrosis).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOF mutations of STAT1' syndrome</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Skin improvement after treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAVI (n = 4)</td>
<td>Case series (n = 4)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2–10 mg daily</td>
<td>Diary score reduction (DDS) criteria.</td>
<td>Only a single case out of 4 of improvement in DDS. Partial improvement.</td>
<td>Respiratory tract infections.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic JIA</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4–8 mg/day</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>Highest degree of evidence</td>
<td>Dosing</td>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEXAS syndrome</td>
<td>Case series (n = 4)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination, C-reactive protein levels.</td>
<td>Baricitinib and upadacitinib were less efficacious than oral ruxolitinib.</td>
<td>Infections and venous thromboembolisms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>Case series (n = 3)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Revised cutaneous lupus area and severity index (R-CLASI), pain assessed by visual analog scale (VAS), type I IFN signature in blood.</td>
<td>R-CLASI100 was achieved in 2/3 patients. Mean VAS was decreased by a 72% at day 30. Type I IFN score achieved a statistically significant reduction.</td>
<td>No severe adverse reactions reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Familial chilblain lupus</td>
<td>Case series (n = 3)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Almost complete resolution of active skin lesions (2/3) (including a decline of R-CLASI from 21 to 3), complete clearance of SCLE (1/3). Interruption of hair loss, followed by prominent hair regrowth after 8 weeks.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subacute cutaneous lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>Case reports (n = 3)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination (3/3), revised cutaneous lupus area and severity index (R-CLASI) (1/3).</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse non-scarring alopecia due to systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic nodular prurigo</td>
<td>Case reports (n = 2)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Eczema Area and Severity Index (EASI) score, itch Numeric Rating Scale (NRS) (2/2).</td>
<td>Rapid improvement in pruritus and skin lesions. EASI50 was achieved at week 8 (1/1). Itch NRS decreased 66.7% at week 8 and 75% after 3 months.</td>
<td>Dryness (50%), rosacea (50%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>Highest degree of evidence</td>
<td>Dosing</td>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-atopic chronic nodular prurigo(^6)</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Investigator’s Global Assessment Scale (IGA), Visual Analog Scale (VAS), NRS (Numeric Rating Scale), PAS (Prurigo Activity Score).</td>
<td>At week 12, IGA decreased from 3 to 2, VAS decreased from 8 to 1, NRS decreased from 9 to 2, and PAS decreased from 4 to 2.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatomyositis(^47,)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile dermatomyositis(^69)</td>
<td>Prospective study (n = 4)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4–8 mg daily</td>
<td>Cutaneous Disease Area and Severity Index (CDASI) score, Patient/Parent Global Activity, Extramuscular Global Activity and Physician Global Activity (PGA).</td>
<td>A statistically significant decrease in all scores by week 4 was achieved. Oral corticoids and other immunosuppressants were decreased or discontinued. There were no notable changes in calcinosis.</td>
<td>No serious adverse events. Upper respiratory tract infections, BK virus reactivation, hematologic abnormalities and elevated creatine kinase levels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatomyositis (adult)(^47)</td>
<td>Open-label study (n = 12)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2 mg twice daily</td>
<td>Cutaneous Dermatomyositis Disease Area and Severity Index Activity (CDASI-A) and Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI) score.</td>
<td>Significant improvement in the CDASI-A and the DLQI score at week 4 and 12, respectively. Facial erythema and pruritus demonstrated a significant improvement after treatment. Prednisone was tapered in 5 of 6 patients and discontinued in 1 patient.</td>
<td>Transient increase in platelets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunobullous diseases(^57–59)</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Substantial improvement after 2 weeks of treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^46\) Corbella-Bagot, C. Riquelme-McLoughlin and D. Morgado-Carrasco
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mucous membrane pemphigoid</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Significant ocular improvement 2 months after treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bullous pemphigoid</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>A patient with concomitant psoriasis showed a complete remission of both dermatoses at week 24.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichen planus pemphigoides</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 3.4 mg/day</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Almost complete resolution after 6 months.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other dermatoses</td>
<td>RCT (n = 20)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2 mg, with escalation to 4 mg daily</td>
<td>2014 NIH cGVHD Response Criteria.</td>
<td>Overall response at month 6 of 63%. All organs except for the lungs showed a significant response. Cutaneous response was not specified.</td>
<td>Upper respiratory infections (13), neutropenia (6), hypophosphatemia (12), hypertriglyceridemia (5), reactivation of CMV, EBV or BK (18, none requiring treatment), severe infections (5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic hand eczema</td>
<td>Case series (n = 2)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Hand eczema severity index (HECSI), Quality of Life in Hand Eczema Questionnaire (QOLHEQ). Clinical and ultrasound examination, Health Assessment Questionnaire II (HAQ II) score.</td>
<td>Near complete resolution in both cases, with a mean reduction of HECSI of 88.7% at week 16, when both cases had a &quot;not at all impaired&quot; quality of life. Reduction in corticosteroids dose, improvement in HAQ II score from 2.5 to 1.0, reduction in skin induration, improvement in elasticity, reduction in superficial gastrocnemius fascia thickness from 2 mm to 1 mm.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eosinophilic fasciitis</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib (dosing not specified)</td>
<td>Clinical examination, ultrasound examination, Health Assessment Questionnaire II (HAQ II) score.</td>
<td></td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indication</td>
<td>Highest degree of evidence</td>
<td>Dosing</td>
<td>Measures</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>Adverse events</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal fibrosing alopecia</td>
<td>Retrospective studies (n = 5)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 3.4-6.8 mg daily</td>
<td>Lichen Planopilaris Activity Index (LPPAI).</td>
<td>Improvement in disease activity score in 60%.</td>
<td>Elevation in liver enzymes (1/13), hypercholesterolemia (1/13), neutropenia (1/13), fatigue (1/13).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generalized morphea</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Resolution of erythema after 2 months and subjective improvement after 6 months.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granuloma annulare</td>
<td>Case series (n = 2) and 2 case reports (n = 2)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Cases did not recur, improved, or remitted after 2 weeks to 2 months on baricitinib. One case did not relapse after baricitinib discontinuation (1/2), but another case did (1/2).</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypereosinophilic syndrome</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 5 mg daily</td>
<td>Eosinophil count.</td>
<td>Normalization of her eosinophil count after 3 months.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichen planus</td>
<td>Retrospective studies and case reports (n = 8)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 3.4-6.8 mg daily</td>
<td>Lichen Planopilaris Activity Index (LPPAI). Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Improvement in disease activity score in 71%. Significant and maintained improvement in nail LP (1/1).</td>
<td>Elevation in liver enzymes (1/13), hypercholesterolemia (1/13), neutropenia (1/13), fatigue (1/13).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Livedoid vasculopathy</td>
<td>Case series (n = 8) and 2 case reports (n = 2)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2-4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical score assessment composed of three domains: pain (0-3), ulceration (0-2) and erythema (0-3). Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Improvement of mean clinical scores after baricitinib treatment (7.0 ± 1.6 and 1.4 ± 1.2 before and after, respectively). 75% of patients reached clinical remission. Rapid and remarkable improvement with treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3  (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis(^{18,70})</td>
<td>1 RCT (n = 271) and a case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2–10 mg daily</td>
<td>Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) score. Clinical and radiographic examination.</td>
<td>At week 12, a 75% reduction in PASI was achieved by 43% and 54% patients treated with baricitinib 8 mg and 10 mg daily, respectively, versus 17% in the placebo group. Efficacy was maintained through week 24 among more than 81% of responders.(^{18}) Dermatological and joint improvement from the 5th day of treatment in a patient with acrodermatitis continua of Hallopeau.(^{70})</td>
<td>Mild neutropenia and anemia, small increases in creatinine and lipoproteins. One death in the baricitinib 4 mg group, due to an esophageal cancer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyoderma gangrenosum(^{71})</td>
<td>Case series (n = 2)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination</td>
<td>Complete remission within 5 weeks–3 months. Joint improvement and cutaneous remission after 4 weeks, with no further flares after 10 months of follow-up.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet syndrome(^{72})</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic sclerosis(^{73–76})</td>
<td>One case series and 2 case reports (n = 12)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 2–4 mg daily</td>
<td>Modified Rodnan skin score (mRSS).</td>
<td>A significant cutaneous response was reported in 75% of the patients, with a mean reduction in mRSS score of 8.75 points.</td>
<td>Herpes zoster (1/12).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitiligo(^{77})</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Baricitinib 4 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Almost complete repigmentation of his upper limbs after 8 months of treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: JAK, Janus kinase; SAVI, STING-associated vasculopathy with onset in infancy; JIA, juvenile idiopathic arthritis; RCT, randomized controlled trial; LP, lichen planus; GVHD, graft versus host disease.

\(^a\) One patient required dose adjustment to baricitinib 8 mg daily due to a mild flare on week 9, and was later reduced to 6 mg/day.

\(^b\) In one or more patients, baricitinib dose was halved during follow-up.

\(^c\) Adverse events for frontal fibrosing alopecia and lichen planus pilaris were obtained from the same study, and thus the column represents the sum of adverse events from both diseases.
neous improvement in all JDM patients, including calcinosis stabilization, partial regression, and complete remission. Case reports demonstrated improved cutaneous and muscular symptoms, as well as reductions in calcinosis.

**Lichen planus (LP).** In a retrospective study (n = 7), the use of baricitinib demonstrated improvement in 71% of patients with LP pilaris. A woman with severe nail LP experienced significant and sustained improvement with baricitinib.

**Livedoid vasculopathy.** A case series (n = 8) of baricitinib 2 mg/day for refractory livedoid vasculopathy showed statistically significant improvement in disease activity. Clinical remission was achieved in 6 cases. Two case reports showed rapid and remarkable improvement with baricitinib 4 mg/day.

**Psoriasis.** In a 12-week dose-ranging phase 2b RCT (n = 271), a 75% reduction in Psoriasis Area and Severity Index (PASI) was achieved by 43–54% patients treated with baricitinib. A network meta-analysis showed lower efficacy of baricitinib compared to tofacitinib. A 28-year-old female with Acrodermatitis Continua of Hallopeau showed a rapid and maintained skin and joint symptoms remission with baricitinib 2 mg/day.

**Pyoderma gangrenosum.** Baricitinib 4 mg/day led to a complete response in a case series (n = 2) of refractory pyoderma gangrenosum on the lower leg and scalp.

**Sweet syndrome.** A 59-year-old female with refractory rheumatoid arthritis-associated Sweet syndrome improved her joint and cutaneous symptoms after 4 weeks with baricitinib.

**Systemic sclerosis.** A case series (n = 10) and 2 case reports investigated the use of baricitinib in systemic sclerosis. Significant cutaneous response was observed in nine patients.

**Vitiligo.** A 67-year-old man with vitiligo affecting both hands and forearms received baricitinib 4 mg/day for rheumatoid arthritis, showing repigmentation after 8 months.

**Upadacitinib (Table 4)**

**Alopecia areata**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alopecia areata (n = 4)</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>Upadacitinib 15-30 mg daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination (4/4), trichoscopy (1/4). Severity of Alopecia Tool score (SALT) (1/4). Clinical examination (2/2). Esophagoscopy and histological exams (1/2).</td>
<td>Hair regrowth was achieved (4/4). SALT100 was achieved on all hair-bearing regions at month 4 (1/1).</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Erosive oral lichen planus (n = 2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Erosive oral lichen planus</td>
<td>Case reports</td>
<td>Upadacitinib 15 mg daily</td>
<td>Physical examination.</td>
<td>Marked improvement after 4 months of treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Granuloma annulare (n = 1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Hidradenitis suppurativa (n = 20)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hidradenitis suppurativa</td>
<td>Retrospective study</td>
<td>Upadacitinib 15 mg daily</td>
<td>Proportion of individuals reaching 50%, 75% and 90% improvements in the Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response endpoint (HiSCR). DLQI (Dermatology Life Quality Index) and pain rating scores.</td>
<td>Week 4: HiSCR50: 75%; HiSCR75: 30%; HiSCR90: 20% Week 12: HiSCR50: 100%; HiSCR75: 95%; HiSCR90: 30% DLQI and pain rating reduced significantly by week 4.</td>
<td>Elevation of CPK (80%), COVID-19 (15%), transient elevation of liver enzymes (10%), herpes zoster reactivation (5%).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Persistent erythema multiforme (n = 1)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Clinical outcome</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Persistent erythema multiforme</td>
<td>Case report</td>
<td>Upadacitinib 15 mg daily</td>
<td>Physical examination and patient report.</td>
<td>Marked improvement of oral and skin manifestations and sustained response after 12 months of follow-up.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pyoderma gangrenosum.** Baricitinib 4 mg/day led to a complete response in a case series (n = 2) of refractory pyoderma gangrenosum on the lower leg and scalp.

**Sweet syndrome.** A 59-year-old female with refractory rheumatoid arthritis-associated Sweet syndrome improved her joint and cutaneous symptoms after 4 weeks with baricitinib.

**Systemic sclerosis.** A case series (n = 10) and 2 case reports investigated the use of baricitinib in systemic sclerosis. Significant cutaneous response was observed in nine patients.

**Vitiligo.** A 67-year-old man with vitiligo affecting both hands and forearms received baricitinib 4 mg/day for rheumatoid arthritis, showing repigmentation after 8 months.

**Upadacitinib (Table 4)**

**Alopecia areata.** Four case reports (n = 4) demonstrated hair regrowth with upadacitinib 15–30 mg/day. In three cases, this regimen also improved a concurrent severe atopic dermatitis.

**Erosive oral lichen planus.** A 45-year-old woman with erosive oral LP and psoriatic arthritis, and a 59-year-old...
woman with refractory erosive oral and esophageal LP\textsuperscript{21} received upadacitinib 15 mg daily. Drastic and sustained improvement of the oral lesions was observed in both cases.

**Erythema multiforme.** A female in her 30s with persistent erythema multiforme showed significant improvement with upadacitinib 15 mg/day.\textsuperscript{84}

**Granuloma annulare.** A woman in her 60s with refractory patch-type granuloma annulare showed a near-complete remission with upadacitinib 15 mg/day.\textsuperscript{85}

**Hidradenitis suppurativa.** A retrospective study (n = 20) of moderate-to-severe hidradenitis suppurativa treated with upadacitinib showed significant improvement in hidradenitis suppurativa clinical response (HiSCR), DLQI and pain rating scores from week 4 of therapy.\textsuperscript{86}

**Topical ruxolitinib (Table 5)**

**Alopecia areata (AA).** In a phase 1 RCT (n = 16) comparing ruxolitinib 1% ointment to clobetasol 0.05% in individuals with AA universalis, 31% exhibited partial hair regrowth in ruxolitinib-treated areas.\textsuperscript{87} An open-label pilot study followed by an RCT, including patients with 25–99% hair loss at baseline, reported that 50% achieved >50% reduction in Severity of Alopecia Tool (SALT50) at week 24 with ruxolitinib 1.5% cream. However, the RCT failed to demonstrate superior efficacy compared to the vehicle.\textsuperscript{26}

---

### Table 5 Off-label use of topical ruxolitinib in dermatology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Highest degree of evidence</th>
<th>Dosing</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Adverse events</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alopecia areata\textsuperscript{26,87}</td>
<td>2 RCTs (n = 16 and n = 78)</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 1–1.5% ointment twice daily</td>
<td>Severity of Alopecia Tool (SALT)\textsuperscript{24}; investigator’s and patient’s global assessment.\textsuperscript{86}</td>
<td>50% of patients achieved SALT50 at week 24, but the RCT failed to demonstrate any significant difference in SALT compared with the vehicle.\textsuperscript{24} 31% of the patients showed partial hair regrowth in treated areas vs. 63% with 0.05% clobetasol.\textsuperscript{86}</td>
<td>No significant findings were reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psoriasis\textsuperscript{96}</td>
<td>RCT (n = 29)</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 0.5% daily to 1.5% twice daily</td>
<td>Total lesion score: erythema (0–3), scaling (0–3) and thickness (0–3).</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 1% applied daily and 1.5% applied twice daily resulted in a non-statistically significant 53–54% total lesion score decrease, versus 32% with vehicle.</td>
<td>Local adverse events (stinging, itching, irritation, pain, dryness, exfoliation, redness).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seborrheic dermatitis\textsuperscript{100}</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination. Modified Composite Assessment of Index Lesion Severity (mCAILS) score, total lesion count.</td>
<td>Complete resolution after 2 weeks of treatment. Total lesion count decreased by a median of 50 lesions, and mCAILS decreased by a mean difference of 7.6 between treated and control lesions at week 8.</td>
<td>No referred.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lichen planus\textsuperscript{90}</td>
<td>Open-label study (n = 12)</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily and Ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>None referred.</td>
<td>No severe adverse events reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous cGVHD\textsuperscript{92}</td>
<td>N of 1 clinical trial (n = 1)</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily</td>
<td>Body surface area (BSA), Pruritus Visual Analog Scale (VAS).</td>
<td>6.4% improvement in BSA in treated lesions, versus 3.81% in vehicle-treated lesions at week 6. Improvement in pruritus was not superior to placebo.</td>
<td>None attributable to topical ruxolitinib.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cutaneous lupus erythematosus\textsuperscript{83}</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Improvement in treated plaques and subtle hair regrowth after 2 months of treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrobiosis lipoidica\textsuperscript{70}</td>
<td>Case report (n = 1)</td>
<td>Ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily</td>
<td>Clinical examination.</td>
<td>Switch from tofacitinib 2% led to a marked improvement in color and size after 3 months of treatment.</td>
<td>None reported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abbreviations: RCT, randomized controlled trial; cGVHD, chronic graft versus host disease.*
Table 6  Summary of pretreatment and treatment follow-up recommendations in patients receiving JAK inhibitors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed by an international multidisciplinary Task Force of experts on JAK inhibitors in inflammatory diseases</th>
<th>Proposed by the PRAC and endorsed by the EMA</th>
<th>Dosing adjustments in special situations in patients treated with oral JAK inhibitors according to the respective EMA Summary of Product Characteristics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before treatment</strong></td>
<td><strong>Proposed by the PRAC and endorsed by the EMA</strong></td>
<td><strong>Baricitinib</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Complete medical history</td>
<td>Restrict the use of JAK inhibitors to when no other options are available and preferably at lower doses, in patients with higher risks for serious adverse events:</td>
<td>The recommended dose for dermatological disorders is 4 mg daily. In patients aged ≥75 years or with a history of chronic or recurrent infections, a dose reduction to 2 mg daily should be considered. Baricitinib may require dose adjustments in the event of renal impairment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Chest X-ray</td>
<td>• Patients &gt;65 years old</td>
<td><strong>Upadacitinib</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Baseline skin cancer check</td>
<td>• Smokers or long-term ex-smokers</td>
<td>The recommended dose for atopic dermatitis is 15–30 mg daily. Dosing of 15 mg daily should be specially considered in adolescents and patients aged ≥65 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Complete blood exam (hemogram, liver enzymes, renal function, lipid levels, and serologies for HBV and HIV)</td>
<td>• History of cardiovascular disease</td>
<td><strong>Abrocitinib</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Screening for tuberculosis</td>
<td>• Presence of venous thromboembolic event or cancer risk factors</td>
<td>The recommended starting dose for atopic dermatitis is 200 mg daily. However, dosing of 100 mg daily should be specially considered in patients with moderate renal impairment and patients aged ≥65 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Vaccination status check</td>
<td><strong>During treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Regular skin examinations</td>
<td>• Complete medical history</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Periodic blood exams (1st and 3rd months, then periodically such as every 3 months)</td>
<td>• Chest X-ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Pneumococcal and influenza vaccinations</td>
<td>• Baseline skin cancer check</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Herpes zoster virus vaccine in case of a positive serology</td>
<td>• Complete blood exam (hemogram, liver enzymes, renal function, lipid levels, and serologies for HBV and HIV)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** PRAC, Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee; EMA, European Medicines Agency; JAK, Janus kinase.

In a pediatric case series (n = 2), topical ruxolitinib 1–2% twice daily led to >75% regrowth of upper eyelash hair in one patient, and no regrowth of eyebrows in the other. Two case reports showed partial hair regrowth with topical ruxolitinib. Another case reported no efficacy with ruxolitinib 0.6% twice daily.

**Cutaneous chronic graft versus host disease (cGVHD).** A 51-year-old male showed a 6.4% improvement in total body surface area in lesions treated with topical ruxolitinib 1.5% at week 6.

**Cutaneous lupus erythematosus.** A woman with refractory discoid lupus erythematosus showed improvement and hair regrowth after two months of ruxolitinib 1.5% cream.

**Lichen planus.** A prospective phase 2 open-label study with ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily in cutaneous LP (n = 12) exhibited a statistically significant reduction in the number of lesions and their severity after 8 weeks.

**Necrobiosis lipoidica.** A woman with a refractory necrobiosis lipoidica exhibited a marked improvement after switching from tofacitinib 2% cream to ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily.

**Psoriasis.** A phase 2 RCT (n = 29) found that ruxolitinib 1% and 1.5% resulted in 53% and 54% plaque reduction. A phase IbII open-label trial showed a 40% mean PASI improvement after 3 months of ruxolitinib 1% cream. Another open-label trial comparing ruxolitinib 1% and 1.5% cream applied once or twice daily for 4 weeks showed a mean reduction in erythema score (42–55%), scaling (46–78%) and thickness (50–65%) across all groups. A phase 2 open-label study (n = 25) of ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily, showed a statistically significant improvement at day 28.

**Seborrheic dermatitis.** A 74-year-old man with concomitant rosacea exhibited a complete resolution of seborrheic dermatitis and a partial improvement of rosacea after 2 weeks with ruxolitinib 1.5% twice daily.

**Discussion**

The inclusion of a Black Box warning for JAK inhibitors has raised concern among dermatologists regarding the safety of these medications. However, the magnitude of these concerns should not be overestimated. The long term side effects prompting the Black Box warning were observed in patients with ≥50 years of age with rheumatoid arthritis, concomitantly on methotrexate, and with pre-existing cardiovascular risk factors. In dermatological indications, patient populations usually differ greatly from the clinical setting in which this study was conducted, potentially impacting the safety profile. Moreover, a study comparing the incidence of adverse effects between traditional systemic therapies (methotrexate, cyclosporine, and systemic corticosteroids) and JAK inhibitors (upadacitinib and abrocitinib) found similar or higher rates of malignancy, MACES and VTE with traditional therapies. These suggest that JAK inhibitors could offer a safer alternative in terms of long-term side effects. To mitigate the risk of serious side effects, a multidisciplinary Task Force released consensus recommendations for the management of patients on JAK inhibitors in 2021. In 2022, the European Medicines Agency endorsed the measures recommended by the Pharmacovigilance Risk Assessment Committee elaborated with the same purpose (Table 6). Prior to initiating JAK inhibitor treatment, a thorough anamnesis, focusing on factors such as MACE and VTE history, familial thrombosis, and previous malignancies should be performed. A complete physical...
examination and blood test should be conducted, including a hemogram, liver and renal function tests, lipid panel, CPK, and serological screening for HIV, HBV, HCV, and VZV. A tuberculosis screening should also be conducted. Given the elevated herpes zoster risk, all patients should be offered a vaccination according to their serological status. Shingrix, a zoster recombinant adjuvanted vaccine, has shown promising results in initial data from rheumatoid arthritis patients, with as low as 0.7% developing herpes zoster. However, further studies are required to confirm its preventive efficacy. Given that clinical studies tend to underrepresent pediatric or >65-year-old patients, individuals with comorbidities or at risk for malignancy or thromboembolic and cardiovascular events, there is a need for clinical trials to include these populations to comprehensively assess the safety of JAK inhibitors. Further research is needed to determine if risks can be mitigated by careful dose selection.

Our review includes preliminary efficacy data of JAK inhibitors in several dermatologic conditions (Table 7). Based on the promising findings examined, it is reasonable to consider JAK inhibitors as a potential treatment option for diseases such as livedoid vasculopathy, cGVHD, autoimmune diseases, cutaneous lupus erythematosus, dermatomyositis, and systemic sclerosis. These diseases are often refractory to conventional treatments or heavily reliant on corticosteroids, necessitating an urgent need for alternative therapies.
The accessibility of JAK inhibitors as off-label medications raises concerns. A prospective cohort study in German dermatology clinics revealed lower approval rates of JAK inhibitors compared to biologics (odds ratio 0.16). Additionally, considering their high cost, a cost-benefit analysis is essential, especially for non-life-threatening conditions.

Our study has several limitations. It is a narrative review and not a systematic one. The sample sizes were mostly small, prospective studies were lacking in many off-label indications, and there were short follow-up periods with heterogeneous methodologies, limiting the generalizability of the findings. Many case reports and series assessing the efficacy of JAK inhibitors faced challenges in attributing positive outcomes solely to these medications, as numerous cases involved concomitant treatments that could have influenced the results.

Conclusion

JAK inhibitors pose an important step forward toward precision medicine. Their safety is largely influenced by patient characteristics, disease being treated, route of administration, specific JAK inhibitor, and dosage. When compared to traditional immunosuppressant therapies, overall, JAK inhibitors demonstrate improved safety profiles. These agents hold promise as treatments for various inflammatory dermatoses that greatly impact quality of life.
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