



ACTAS

Dermo-Sifiliográficas

Full English text available at
www.actasdermo.org



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Cutibacterium acnes tetracycline resistance profile in patients with acne vulgaris, in a Colombian dermatologic center*



H.J. Castellanos Lorduy,^{a,b} H.C. Pérez Cely,^b E.J. Casadiego Rincón,^{a,*}
S.C. Henao Riveros,^b C.L. Colorado^a

^a Hospital Universitario Centro Dermatológico Federico Lleras Acosta, Bogotá, Colombia

^b Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Bogotá, Colombia

Received 2 February 2021; accepted 11 May 2021

Available online 11 September 2021

KEYWORDS

Cutibacterium acnes;
Acne treatment;
Resistance to
cyclines;
Resistance to
antibiotics

Abstract

Introduction: Acne is a chronic inflammatory disease, in which different events intervene in its pathogenesis, one of which is *Cutibacterium acnes* (*C. acnes*). Resistance of this bacteria to different antimicrobials used in treatment has been described in different regions of the world. The purpose of the study is to estimate the resistance of *C. acnes* to cyclins in patients with moderate and severe acne over 18 years of age.

Materials and methods: An analytical cross-sectional study was carried out. Samples were taken from inflammatory lesions with a comedone extractor. The content of the samples was incubated in an anaerobic atmosphere to grow *C. acnes*. Finally, the susceptibility of *C. acnes* to tetracycline, minocycline and doxycycline was determined.

Results: Samples were taken from 147 patients, of which 129 showed growth of *C. acnes*, finding that 5.43% of the strains were resistant to tetracycline, 5.43% to doxycycline, 0.78% to minocycline and cross resistance between doxycycline and tetracycline in all the cases. An association was found between resistance and being 25 years of age or older. No association was found with the prior use of antibiotics, a history of misuse of oral or topical antibiotics, and other demographic and clinical characteristics evaluated.

Conclusions: The resistance found of *C. acnes* to cyclines was lower than that reported in other studies. Although no relationship was found with the previous use of antibiotics, it is a factor described in previous studies, which is why the proper use of antibiotics is imperative to avoid the appearance of resistance.

© 2021 Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. on behalf of AEDV. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Please cite this article as: Castellanos Lorduy HJ, Pérez Cely HC, Casadiego Rincón EJ, Henao Riveros SC, Colorado CL, Perfil de resistencia a la tetraciclina de *Cutibacterium acnes* en pacientes con acné vulgar en un centro dermatológico de Colombia. Actas Dermosifiliogr. 2021;112:873–880.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: casavieja2032@gmail.com (E.J. Casadiego Rincón).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Cutibacterium acnes;
Tratamiento del
acné;
Resistencia a las
ciclínas;
Resistencia a los
antibióticos

Perfil de resistencia a la tetraciclina de *Cutibacterium acnes* en pacientes con acné vulgar en un centro dermatológico de Colombia**Resumen**

Introducción: El acné es una enfermedad inflamatoria crónica, en cuya patogenia intervienen diferentes actores, siendo *Cutibacterium acnes* (*C. acnes*) uno de ellos. La resistencia de esta bacteria a los diferentes fármacos antimicrobianos utilizados en su tratamiento ha sido descrita en diferentes regiones del mundo. El objetivo de este estudio fue estimar la resistencia de *C. acnes* a las ciclínas en pacientes mayores de 18 años con acné de moderado a severo.

Materiales y métodos: Se realizó un estudio transversal analítico. Se obtuvieron muestras de las lesiones inflamatorias con un extractor de comedones. Se incubó el contenido de las muestras en ambiente anaeróbico para aislar *C. acnes*. Por último se estimó la susceptibilidad de *C. acnes* a la tetraciclina, minociclina y doxiciclina.

Resultados: Se obtuvieron muestras de 147 pacientes, observándose crecimiento de *C. acnes* en 129 de ellos, y encontrándose que el 5,43% de las cepas era resistente a tetraciclina, el 5,43% a doxiciclina, el 0,78% a minociclina, y una resistencia cruzada entre doxiciclina y tetraciclina en todos los casos. Se encontró una asociación entre resistencia y edad igual o superior a 25 años. No se encontró asociación con el uso previo de antibióticos, historia de mal uso de antibióticos orales o tópicos, y otras características demográficos y clínicas evaluadas.

Conclusiones: La resistencia a las ciclínas encontrada en *C. acnes* fue menor que la reportada en otros estudios. Aunque no se encontró relación con el uso previo de antibióticos, se trata de un factor descrito en estudios previos, por lo que el uso correcto de los mismos es imperativo para evitar la aparición de resistencia.

© 2021 Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. en nombre de AEDV. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Acne is a chronic inflammatory disease, clinically characterized by the appearance of non-inflammatory (open or closed comedones) and inflammatory lesions (papules, pustules and nodules), and various degrees of scarring¹⁻³. It is the most common dermatological pathology worldwide, affecting mainly more than 80% of adolescents^{1,4-8}. It is a multifactorial disease¹ whose main pathophysiological mechanisms that are associated with the development of acne are: increased sebum production by the sebaceous glands, alteration in the keratinization process, follicular colonization by *Cutibacterium acnes*, and release of inflammatory mediators in the skin^{1,2,4}.

Cutibacterium acnes (*C. acnes*) previously named *Propionibacterium acnes*, is a strict anaerobic gram-positive bacillus that is part of the commensal flora of the skin, predominantly in the sebaceous glands, representing between 20–70% of the microflora^{9,10}. The presence of *C. acnes* is associated with the induction and amplification of the inflammatory response of the pilosebaceous unit, and the formation of biofilm, which results in follicular hyperkeratinization, lipogenesis, and microcomedogenesis, which in turn, generates a microenvironment conducive to growth and expansion of the microorganism. The uncontrolled inflammatory response clinically leads to more severe acne skin lesions⁹.

Antibiotic treatment is associated with two important benefits in the management of acne: first, by suppressing the growth of *C. acnes*, the production of inflammatory mediators synthesized and released by this microorganism is decreased and, on the other hand, the inflammatory

response caused by the presence of the microorganism is decreased¹¹. Among the oral antibiotics indicated for acne treatment are cyclines, trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole^{3,4} and macrolides^{1,3,4,11}. In Colombia the use of oral antibiotics is indicated in the treatment of moderate to severe acne, with tetracyclines being the first line of treatment^{3,4}.

The frequent use but mainly the misuse of antibiotics in different infectious pathologies has led to the development of bacterial resistance, becoming a public health problem worldwide. In fact, according to the latest report from the World Health Organization, there are high rates of resistance for the microorganisms that cause the most frequent infections¹⁸. Specifically in acne, an increasing resistance of *C. acnes* to different oral antibiotics has been reported in recent years¹⁰.

The first resistant strain of *C. acnes* was described in 1979, and since then, different publications have reported resistance data that increased from 34.5% in 1991 to 64% in 1997(12,13); Although a decrease was observed in 1999 to 50.5%, in 2000 an increase to 55% was reported¹⁰, with variations between countries in the reported resistance rates^{5,12-16}.

Resistance of *C. acnes* is mainly associated with a poorer response rate to treatment and a higher number of exacerbations in patients with acne⁴. However, different studies have shown that in addition to therapeutic failure, antibiotic resistance can be accompanied by genetic transfer of this mechanism to other skin pathogens, such as *Staphylococcus aureus* or *Streptococcus pyogenes*, increasing the rates of antibiotic resistance of these microorganisms⁷.

In Colombia, a study was previously carried out in 2005–2006 involving two dermatological centers. The

objective of which was to determine the antimicrobial susceptibility of *C. acnes* to the antibiotics commonly used in acne (erythromycin, clindamycin, tetracycline, doxycycline, minocycline), finding that 40% of the isolated *C. acnes* were resistant to at least one of the antibiotics tested and 63% had received antibiotic treatment in the last 6 months. The risk factor that was associated with greater antibiotic resistance was a history of antibiotic use, since a 46% resistance was demonstrated among patients who reported this history, however resistance was demonstrated even in patients without a history antibiotics usage showing resistance of 28%⁵.

Taking into account that in the dermatological center of the study, acne is the main reason for consultation, with about 9 thousand new diagnoses per year¹⁸, and that there are few surveillance studies of resistance of *C. acnes* to cyclines in Colombia, it is proposed to estimate the frequency of cycline resistance and identify associated factors, among our patients.

Materials and methods

An cross-sectional analytical study was carried out. Two expert dermatologists at the institutional acne clinic selected patients over 18 years of age with moderate to severe acne from February 2017 to May 2018. Patients with any of the following criteria were excluded: severe acne requiring isotretinoin management oral, topical or oral antimicrobial treatment in the last 3 months and pregnancy.

Samples were taken from the face or trunk from inflammatory lesions (papule or pustule) with a comedone extractor. The contents of the samples were placed in a tube with thioglycollate broth enriched with hemin and menadione, then seeded in two boxes with Schaedler agar and incubated in an anaerobic atmosphere at 37 °C for 4–6 days. *C. acnes* was identified by the characteristics of its colonies, gram staining, and with the help of a stereomicroscope the colonies were obtained for subculture in order to have pure bacterial growth. Bacterial identification was performed with the Thermo Scientific™ RapID™ ANA II System kit for anaerobes. Strain ATCC 11827 was used as a control for the tests. To determine the susceptibility of *C. acnes* to tetracycline, minocycline and doxycycline, the epilometer E test® BioMérieux range between 0.016 a 256 µg/mL was used. The protocol for the isolates and subsequent subcultures were made according to the techniques recommended in The Clinical & Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M100 and CLSI M11-A8 guidelines. The E tests were performed on Wilkins Chalgren agar. Isolates were considered resistant for all antibiotics with MIC of >ó = 16 µg/mL^{19,20}.

Patients were selected by convenience if they met the eligibility criteria and a sample size was estimated using the Epi Info™ 7 software, taking into account an expected frequency of resistance of 9%, Confidence Interval of 95%, error of 5%, statistical power of 80% and losses of 10%. In the statistical analysis, the categorical variables were expressed as frequencies, and measures of central tendency and dispersion were performed for the quantitative variables. Bivariate analyzes were performed to search for an association between resistance of *C. acnes* to tetracyclines (dependent variable) and the sociodemographic and clinical

factors of interest (independent variables). The null hypothesis was established that there are no differences between the proportion of global resistance between the groups with the factors analyzed. As a criterion for rejection of the null hypothesis, a level of statistical significance less than 0.05 was defined. The statistics used for the differences in proportions were the Z test and the chi-square test. For the resistances, intervals were estimated at 95% reliability by the exact binomial method. A stratified Mantel-Haenszel analysis was performed to evaluate confounding factors and a multivariate analysis was performed using the logistic model, whose dependent variable was global resistance to cyclines, for which this variable had three categories (sensitive, intermediate and resistant) it was re-categorized into two: presence or absence of resistance to cyclins. The analyzes were performed using the Stata 13 statistical package.

The study was conceived in accordance with the considerations established in the Declaration of Helsinki and complies with the guidelines of the Colombian regulations set forth in Resolution 008430 of 1993 of the Ministry of Health. It was classified as an investigation with minimal risk as defined in the 11th article of the aforementioned resolution²¹. All the patients agreed to participate in the study voluntarily, the risks of taking the sample were explained, with subsequent signing of the informed consent. There were no adverse events as a result of sampling or attention. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Federico Lleras Acosta Dermatological Center and the National University of Colombia.

Results

147 samples of skin lesions were collected from patients with acne vulgaris, of which 14 were not isolated *C. acnes* and in 3 were *Propionibacterium* spp. Finally, 129 patients were included for the analysis due to the growth of *C. acnes*.

In the demographic characteristics of the patients, it was found that the female sex was 66.7%, the average age of the sample was 23.8 years, with a range of 18–49 years, and the mean age for disease onset was 17.1 years. Bogotá was the municipality from which the most patients were included with 86.8%, followed by the departments of Cundinamarca and Meta. Most of the patients had completed higher education (64%), were low-income (83%), and 69% of the patients were new to the institution. The population structure of the sample coincides with the profile of patients treated at the institution¹⁸, (Table 1).

With regard to the clinical characteristics, and according to the classification of the Latin American Group for the Study of Acne (GLEA), it was found that papule-pustular acne was the most frequent with 93%, and in terms of the degree of severity, the moderate was the one that more contributed with 86%. The most common location of acne was on the face and trunk in 60%, followed by unique involvement of face in 36%. The oral antibiotic more frequently before entering the study was doxycycline with 15.5%, of the topical medications, benzoyl peroxide and clindamycin were previously used in 14.7 and 4%, respectively, (Table 1). The lowest resistance found was to minocycline with 0.78%, followed by doxycycline and tetracycline with 5.43% each, (Table 2 shows the resistances).

Table 1 Variables demográficas.

Demographic variables	n (%)
Total	129(%)
Sex	
Male	43 (33,33%)
Female	86 (66,67%)
Average age	23,8 years (SD 5,98)
Age at onset	17,1 years (SD 5,5)
Origin	
Bogotá D.C	112 (86,8%)
Cundinamarca	8 (6,2%)
Meta	3 (2,33%)
Scholarity	
Primary school	1 (0,78%)
High school	44 (34,11%)
Professional degree	83 (64,34%)
No data	1 (0,78%)
Social status	
Status 1	10 (7,75%)
Status 2	56 (43,31%)
Status 3	52 (40,31%)
Status 4	11 (8,53%)
Acne diagnosis at the center	
First time	89 (69%)
Former	40 (31%)
Classification GLEA	
Papulo pustular	93 (72,09%)
Nodulo cystic	36 (27,91%)
Grade	
Moderate	86 (66,67%)
Severe	43 (33,33%)
Family history	
No	44 (34,11%)
Yes	85 (65,89%)

Table 2 Resistance profile.

Resistance profile	n (%)	CI 95%*
Doxycycline		(2.2–10.86)*
Susceptible	122 (94,57)	
Resistant	7 (5,43)	
Minocycline		(0.019–4.24)*
Susceptible	128 (99,22)	
Resistant	1 (0,78)	
Tetracycline		(2.2–10.86)*
Susceptible	122 (94,57)	
Resistant	7 (5,43)	
Cycline resistance		(2.2–10.86)*
Susceptible	122 (94,57)	
Resistant	7 (5,43)	

* 95% confidence interval by exact binomial test.

Among the possible risk factors evaluated for resistance, the history of topical antibiotic use was 15.5%, the history of misuse of topical antibiotics (<8 weeks or >12 weeks) was 13.1%, the history of oral antibiotic use was 13.1%, the history of oral antibiotic misuse (<8 weeks or >12 weeks) was 13.1%, self-medicated antibiotics was 3.1%, and the use of

Table 3 Previous antibiotic use.

Previous antibiotic use	n (%)
Total	129 (100)
Previous topical antibiotic use	
No	109 (84,5%)
Yes	20 (15,5%)
Topical antibiotic misuse	
Less than 8 weeks	7 (5,4%)
More than 12 weeks	10 (7,7%)
Previous oral antibiotic use	
No	112 (86,8%)
Yes	17 (13,1%)
Oral antibiotic misuse	
Less than 8 weeks	11 (8,53%)
More than 12 weeks	6 (4,65%)
Self-prescribe antibiotic	
No	125 (96,9%)
Yes	4 (3,1%)
Use of antibiotics for other infections	
No	116 (89,92%)
Yes	(9,3%)
No data	1 (0,78%)

antibiotics for other infections was 9.3%, (**Table 3**). The evaluated factors were regrouped to establish associations with the outcome of resistance, which was done with age, socioeconomic stratum, duration of the disease, age of onset of the disease, history of antibiotic use and history of both oral and topical misuse, (**Table 4**).

In the bivariate analysis, it was found that those over 25 years of age are associated with resistance to cyclines, (**Table 4**). With the rest of the factors evaluated, no significant associations were found. To define possible confounding factors that were affecting the association between the age variable with resistance to cyclines, a Mantel-Haenszel stratified analysis was performed, generally obtaining very wide confidence intervals for the stratified OR. In this analysis, the variables age at onset of the disease and duration of the disease significantly modified the crude OR of the relationship studied, for which they were excluded in the multivariate model. With these results, a logistic model was carried out selecting those factors that did not significantly modify the crude OR of the effect of age on resistance when stratifying by the other cofactors. However, the logistic model that showed the best performance in estimating the effect of the age variable on resistance significantly increased the value of statistical significance. Likewise, the post estimation evaluations of the selected model showed that it was not a significant model. The results of the OR adjusted with the confidence intervals and the statistical significance obtained with the model are presented, (the last column of **Table 4**).

Discussion

In dermatology, antibiotics are one of the main drugs used in a wide variety of pathologies, but it is mainly use on acne and rosacea, of which two thirds of oral antibiotic formulations are in acne^{22,23}.

Table 4 Resistance associations.

Variable	Cycline resistance n (%)	OR (CI95%); p*	Adjusted OR (CI95%); p ⁺
Sex			
Male	2 (4.65)	1.26 (0.23–6.85); P = 0.784	0.77 (0.12–4.75); p = 0.781
Female	5 (5.81)		
Age ^δ			
Younger than 25 years old	2 (2.35)	5.32 (0.95–29.73); P = 0.032	6.37 (1.02–39.83); p = 0.048
25 years old or older	5 (11.36)		
Family history			
No	2 (4.55)	1.31 (0.24–7.10); P = 0.7507	1.23 (0.211–7.16); p = 0.817
Yes	5 (5.88)		
Social status			
Low	3 (4.55)	1.42 (0.30–6.67); P = 0.651	1.10 (0.21–5.65); p = 0.908
Medium	4 (6.35)		
Disease duration ^α			
Less than 6 years	3 (4.55)	1.42 (0.30–6.67); P = 0.6512	
6 years or more	4 (6.35)		
Age of onset ^β			
Younger than 16 years old	2 (3.17)	2.50 (0.46–13.58); P = 0.2719	
16 years old or older	5 (7.57)		
Clinical classification			
Papulo pustular	6 (6.45)	0.41 (0.04–3.61); P = 0.4105	0.28 (.026–2.97); p = 0.293
Nodulo cystic	1 (2.78)		
Severity			
Moderate	5 (5.81)	0.79 (0.145–4.279); P = 0.7843	1.69 (0.25–11.33); p = 0.586
Severe	2 (4.65)		
History of antibiotic use (infections, self-prescribe, topical or oral in acne treatment)			
No	4 (4.35)	1.94 (0.40–9.22); Pr = 0.3957	3.10 (0.49–19.39); p = 0.224
Yes	3 (8.11)		
History of topical or oral antibiotic misuse (<8 ó >12 weeks)			
No	6 (5.83)	0.646667 (0.07–5.67); Pr = 0.6917	0.345 (0.02–4.33); p = 0.410
Yes	1 (3.85)		

*OR (odds ratio), p = probability of rejection with the Z test for difference of proportions; ⁺Adjusted OR and probability of rejection with the logistic model; ^αThe duration of the disease was defined as a cut-off point of 5 years because it is the median; ^βThe age of disease onset was defined as 16 years because it is the median; ^δIn the age of the patient, the cut-off of 24 years was used, because using the median in 22 years as a criterion prevented the calculation of the OR because there were zeros in the resistance cells.

Resistance of *C. acnes* to one or more antibiotics is a problem documented in various studies around the world, with rates that have fluctuated over the years from 34.5% in 1991 to 64% in 1997, 50.5% in 1999 and 55.5% in 2000, with resistance to erythromycin being the most frequent, and the latter being linked to the generation of resistance to clindamycin¹⁰.

Worldwide, it is well known that India and the United Kingdom have high rates of resistance to tetracycline^{16,24,25}, with highly variable rates for resistance to doxycycline, and low for minocycline, except for that reported in Singapore and Hong Kong^{6,14}. Our findings show consistency with what was found 6 years ago in the country⁵, where resistance to cyclines is low, especially to minocycline, as in the rest of Latin America, except Costa Rica and Mexico which are the highest of the zone²⁶. Clarifying that, by not evaluating resistance to erythromycin and clindamycin, we will not be able to evaluate all *C. acnes* resistant to other antibiotic groups (Table 5).

The average age of our population is 24 years old, which is higher than that usually reported between 15 and 20 years^{27–31}, this is due to the fact that the study group does not attend to children. The female predominance of the study has also been shown by other cohorts such as that of Kilkenny et al.³⁰ and other cohorts included in the review by Tan et al.²⁷.

The study population does not have patients with mild disease, and those with moderate disease predominate, contrary to that reported in the world literature where approximately two thirds of patients have mild disease^{27,28,30}, it may be due to the fact that selected patients must have papules, pustules or nodules for sampling, to obtain more material for culture, although this may correspond to a selection bias. An important finding was to find a 100% cross-resistance between resistance to doxycycline and tetracycline, as was also found in the study carried out in France¹⁵ and to a lesser extent in other studies¹⁴.

Table 5 Worldwide acne resistance.

Study	Location	Antibiotic resistance of <i>C. acnes</i> (%)					
		Ery	Clin	Tet	Doxy	Mino	TMP
Rodriguez-Cavallini et al. (2004) ²⁶	Costa Rica	19	23	19	-	-	-
Gonzalez et al. (2010)	Mexico	46	36	14	20	0	-
Schafer et al. (2013) ³³	Chile	12,5	7,5	0	0	-	26,3
Mendoza et al. (2013) ⁵	Colombia	35	15	8	9	1	-
Solis et al. (2018) ³⁷	Ecuador	29,5	11,2	9,8	-	2,8	-
Castellanos et al. (Current)	Colombia	-	-	5,43	5,43	0,78	-
	Europe						
Coates et al. (2002) ²⁵	United Kingdom	94,4	90,3	52,8	-	-	-
Galvan Perez et al. (2002) ³⁸	Spain	55,8	51,8	4,5	-	2,3	-
Ross et al. (2003) ¹⁶	Spain	90	90	5	-	0	-
	Greece	75	75	7	-	-	-
	Hungary	45	40	0	-	-	-
	Italy	58	58	0	-	-	-
	UK	50	50	25	-	-	-
	Sweden	45	45	15	-	-	-
Bettoli et al. (2006) ³⁹	Italy	50,5	37,6	2,2	-	1,1	-
Dumont-Wallon et al. (2010) ¹⁵	France	75,1	-	9,5	9,5	-	-
	Asia						
Tan et al. (2007) ⁶	Singapur	69,2	50	11,5	23	11,5	38,5
Ishida et al. (2008) ⁴⁰	Japan	10,4	8,3	0	-	0	-
Zandi et al. (2011) ³²	Iran	15,5	43	6,9	-	-	-
Luk et al. (2013) ¹⁴	Hong Kong	20,9	53,5	16,3	16,3	16,3	-
Sardana et al. (2016) ²⁴	India	98	90,4	30,8	44,2	1,9	-
Nakase et al. (2017) ³⁵	Japan	44,3	38,6	-	4,3	0	-
Toyne et al. (2012) ¹²	Australia	6	-	3,72			0,46

Clin: clindamycin; Doxy: doxycycline; Eri: erythromycin; Mino: minocycline; Tet: tetracycline; TMP: trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole.

The risk factor more frequently associated with antibiotic resistance is the use of topical antibiotics, that was associated with resistant *C. acnes* in Australia (higher rate of resistance with the use of topical antibiotics (50%) compared to patients with no history of use of antibiotic (3.6%) and the use of oral antibiotics (17.5%))¹². The use of oral antibiotics was associated with greater resistance in Mexico (patients with a history of antibiotic treatment presented higher resistance: azithromycin (92.5%), trimethoprim / sulfamethoxazole (77.7%), erythromycin (59.2%), doxycycline (33%) compared to patients without this history azithromycin (72.7%), trimethoprim / sulfamethoxazole (59%) and erythromycin (31.8%)). However, a study conducted in Iran did not detect significant differences in antibiotic resistance in patients with and without a history of antibiotic use³². These results indicate that the antibiotics used in acne treatment, may contribute to the formation of resistant strains of *C. acnes*.

An association between the variables age and resistance was found in the bivariate analysis, understanding that the older the age, the greater the probability of exposure to antibiotics and therefore the greater risk of resistance, as found by Luk et al., and Schafer et al.^{14,33}.

However, no relationship was found with previous exposure to antibiotics, contrary to what was found in many publications in relation to resistance in acne^{5,12,15,34}.

One of the greatest difficulties inherent in retrospective studies is depending on the information that the patient declares. This may explain the paradoxical results that we found with the antecedent variable of misuse of topical or oral antibiotics, in which greater resistance was obtained in those who did not register this antecedent. It was observed that with the variables classification and severity, the groups were not balanced. It is possible that due to the low prevalence of resistance in our population, larger sample size is required to capture the differences in the groups.

C. acnes is a bacterium that plays an important role in the production of acne, antibiotics due to their anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and antimicrobial properties have been used to control the disease, but their use has generated the appearance and increase of the resistance of both *C. acnes* and other microorganisms, and not only in patients, but even in their close contacts and dermatologists³⁵, which invites us to make rational use of antibiotics when strictly necessary, and under adequate prescription. Current dermatology practice tends to look for alternatives to the use and abuse of antibiotics in the treatment of acne. Treatments such as isotretinoin, topical retinoids, and in the future compounds such as 5 alpha-reductase inhibitors are valid alternatives to avoid the use of antibiotics, as well as the management of female acne with oral contraceptives and spironolactone. The results of the study show high sensitivity to the use of cyclines, which

may be related to the phylotypes of the studied population and the pattern of use of antibiotics in acne, although the latter could not be corroborated in our institution, because despite adherence to the antibiotic use guidelines of 37.1%, a low resistance was found³⁶. In a near future, the characterization of the phylotypes of *C. acnes* could answer these questions.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Williams HC, Dellavalle RP, Garner S. Acne vulgaris. Lancet. 2012;379:361–72, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)60321-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60321-8).
2. Dessinioti C, Katsambas AD. The role of *Propionibacterium acnes* in acne pathogenesis: facts and controversies. Clin Dermatol. 2010;28:2–7, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2009.03.012>.
3. Shalita AR. Acne: clinical presentations. Clin Dermatol. 2004;22:385–6.
4. Orozco B, Campo M, Anaya L, Atuesta J, Ávila M, Balcázar L, et al. Guias colombianas para el manejo del acné: una revisión basada en la evidencia. Rev Aso Colomb Dermatol. 2011;19:129–58.
5. Mendoza N, Hernandez P, Tyring S, Haitz K, Motta A. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Propionibacterium acnes* isolates from acne patients in Colombia. Int J Dermatol. 2013;52:688–92.
6. Tan H, Tan AWH, Barkham T, Yan X, Zhu M. Community-based study of acne vulgaris in adolescents in Singapore. Br J Dermatol. 2007;157:547–51.
7. Ghodsi SZ, Orawa H, Zouboulis CC. Prevalence, severity, and severity risk factors of acne in high school pupils: a community-based study. J Invest Dermatol. 2009;129:2136–41, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/jid.2009.47>.
8. Yahya H. Acne vulgaris in Nigerian adolescents — prevalence, severity, beliefs, perceptions, and practices. Int J Dermatol. 2009;48:498–505.
9. Beylot C, Auffret N, Poli F, Claudel J, Leccia M, Giudice P, et al. *Propionibacterium acnes*: an update on its role in the pathogenesis of acne. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2014;28:271–8.
10. Aubin GG, Portillo ME, Trampuz A, Corvec S. *Propionibacterium acnes*, an emerging pathogen: from acne to implant-infections, from phylotype to resistance. Med Mal Infect. 2014;44:241–50, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.medmal.2014.02.004>.
11. Leyden JJ. Current issues in antimicrobial therapy for the treatment of acne. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2001;15 Suppl 3:51–5.
12. Leyden JJ, McGinley KJ, Cavalieri S, Webster GF, Mills OH, Kligman AM. *Propionibacterium acnes* resistance to antibiotics in acne patients. J Am Acad Dermatol. 1983;8:41–5.
13. Toyne H, Webber C, Collignon P, Dwan K, Kljakovic M. *Propionibacterium acnes* (*P. acnes*) resistance and antibiotic use in patients attending Australian general practice. Australas J Dermatol. 2012;53:106–11.
14. González R, Welsh O, Ocampo J, Hinojosa-Robles RM, Vera-Cabrera L, Delaney ML, et al. In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility of *Propionibacterium acnes* isolated from acne patients in northern Mexico. Int J Dermatol. 2010;49:1003–7.
15. Luk NMT, Hui M, Lee HCS, Fu LH, Liu ZH, Lam LY, et al. Antibiotic-resistant *Propionibacterium acnes* among acne patients in a regional skin centre in Hong Kong. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol. 2013;27:31–6.
16. Dumont-Wallon G, Moyse D, Blouin E, Dréno B. Bacterial resistance in French acne patients. Int J Dermatol. 2010;49:283–8.
17. Ross J, Snelling A, Carnegie E, Coates P, Cunliffe WJ, Bettoli V, et al. Antibiotic-resistant acne: lessons from Europe. Br J Dermatol. 2003;148:467–78.
18. Patel M, Bowe W, Heughebaert C, Shalita A. The development of antimicrobial resistance due to the antibiotic treatment of acne vulgaris: a review. J Drugs Dermatol. 2010;9:655–64.
19. CLSI. Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Anaerobic Bacteria. 9th ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2018.
20. CLSI. M11-A8: Methods for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Anaerobic Bacteria; Approved Standard—Eighth Edition. 8th ed. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2012.
21. República de Colombia/Ministerio de Salud. Resolución No 008430 DE 1993. 4 de octubre de 1993 [Accessed 3 July 2019]. Available from: https://urosario.edu.co/Escuela-Medicina/Investigacion/Documentos-de-interes/Files/resolucion_008430_1993.pdf.
22. Del rosso JQ, Webster GF, Rosen T, Thiboutot D, Leyden JJ, Gallo R, et al. Status report from the scientific panel on antibiotic use in dermatology of the American acne and rosacea society part 1: antibiotic prescribing patterns, sources of antibiotic exposure, antibiotic consumption and emergence of antibiotic resistance, impac. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2016;9(4):18–24.
23. Del Rosso J. Oral doxycycline in the management of acne vulgaris: current perspectives on clinical use and recent findings with a new double-scored small tablet formulation. J Clin Aesthet Dermatol. 2015;8:19–26. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26029331>
24. Sardana K, Gupta T, Garg VK, Ghunawat S. Antibiotic resistance to *Propionibacterium acnes*: worldwide scenario, diagnosis and management. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther. 2015;13:883–96.
25. Coates P, Vyakarnam S, Eady EA, Jones CE, Cove JH, Cunliffe WJ. Prevalence of antibiotic-resistant propionibacteria on the skin of acne patients: 10-year surveillance data and snapshot distribution study. Br J Dermatol. 2002;146:840–8.
26. Rodríguez-cavallini E, Vargas-dengo P. Etiología bacteriana y susceptibilidad a antibióticos en pacientes con acné. Rev Bioméd. 2004;15:101–6.
27. Tan JKL, Bhate K. A global perspective on the epidemiology of acne. Br J Dermatol. 2015;172(S1):3–12.
28. Shen Y, Wang T, Zhou C, Wang X, Ding X, Tian S, et al. Prevalence of acne vulgaris in Chinese adolescents and adults: a community-based study of 17,345 subjects in six cities. Acta Derm Venereol. 2012;92:40–4.
29. Augustin M, Herberger K, Hintzen S, Heigel H, Franzke N, Schäfer I. Prevalence of skin lesions and need for treatment in a cohort of 90 880 workers. Br J Dermatol. 2011;165:865–73.
30. Kilkenny M, Merlin K, Plunkett A, Marks R. The prevalence of common skin conditions in Australian school students: 3. acne vulgaris. Br J Dermatol. 1998;139:840–5.
31. Freyre EA, Rebaza RM, Sami DA, Lozada CP. The prevalence of facial acne in peruvian adolescents and its relation to their ethnicity. J Adolesc Health. 1998;22:480–4.
32. Zandi S, Vares B, Abdollahi H. Determination of microbial agents of acne vulgaris and *Propionibacterium acnes* antibiotic resistance in patients referred to dermatology clinics in Kerman, Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2011;4:17–22.
33. Schafer F, Fich F, Lam M, Ga C, Wozniak A, Garcia P. Antimicrobial susceptibility and genetic characteristics of *Propionibacterium acnes* isolated from patients with acne. Int J Dermatol. 2013;52:6–13.
34. Nakase K, Nakaminami H, Takenaka Y, Hayashi N, Kawashima M. *Propionibacterium acnes* is developing gradual increase in resistance to oral tetracyclines. J Med Microbiol. 2018;2017:8–12.

35. Nakase K, Hayashi N, Akiyama Y, Aoki S, Noguchi N. Antimicrobial susceptibility and phylogenetic analysis of *Propionibacterium acnes* isolated from acne patients in Japan between 2013 and 2015. *J Dermatol.* 2017;44:1248–54.
36. Pérez H, Casadiego E, Castellanos H. Acne antibiotic prescription pattern in Colombia. *G Ital Dermatol Venereol.* 2019;154:533–8.
37. Solís MB, Zurita J, Velasco N, Dressendorfer LM. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Cutibacterium acnes* isolated from ecuadorian patients with acne vulgaris. *Skinmed.* 2018;16:159–65.
38. Galvan Perez del Pulgar J, Nebreda RF, Laza-García JM, Cunliffe WJ. Resistencia antibiótica del *Propionibacterium acnes* en pacientes tratados por acné vulgar en Málaga. *Actas Dermosifiliogr.* 2002;93:271–5, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-7310\(02\)76573-3](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0001-7310(02)76573-3).
39. Bettoli V, Borghi A, Rossi R, Ferroni M, Rigolin F, Virgili A. Antibiotic resistance of Propionibacteria. *Dermatology.* 2006;212:206–7.
40. Ishida N, Nakaminami H, Noguchi N, Kurokawa I, Nishijima S, Sasatsu M. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of *Propionibacterium acnes* isolated from patients with acne vulgaris. *Microbiol Immunol.* 2008;52:621–4.