Abstract. Acute postinfectious pityriasis rubra pilaris (PRP) is a variant of juvenile PRP (Griffiths type III) characterized by no family history, an acute course associated with a prior fever, and good prognosis. Clinical features may resemble other superantigen-mediated diseases, such as scarlatiniform rash or staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome, but its histology and treatment are different. We present 4 cases of acute postinfectious PRP that illustrate the clinical features of this uncommon disease and we review possible underlying pathogenic mechanisms.
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Introduction

Pityriasis rubra pilaris (PRP) is a chronic skin disease rarely found in children that is characterized by the appearance of follicular hyperkeratotic papules, erythematous plaques, and palmar-plantar keratoderma. The condition involves keratinization caused by accelerated epidermopoiesis, in which underlying defects in vitamin A metabolism, hypogammaglobulinemia, or isolated immunoglobulin (Ig) A deficiency are believed to be present. In many cases, patients have a history of infection, fever, sun exposure, or traumatic injury. In 1980 Griffiths proposed a classification of 5 groups. However, some cases do not fit any of these groups. In 1983 Larrègue et al proposed a new variant as a subtype of form III of this classification: acute or postinfectious juvenile PRP, a condition rarely reported in the literature.

Case Descriptions

We present 4 patients who attended the pediatric dermatology outpatient clinic for diagnosis and treatment of a generalized erythematos-desquamative rash that appeared 5 to 10 days after a fever. The most relevant clinical information is summarized in Table 1.

Patient 1

An 18-month-old girl came to the emergency room for a generalized rash with onset 3 days earlier. She was initially diagnosed with staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome (SSSS) and was admitted and treated with oral cloxacillin 250 mg/6 hours and neomycin ointment in the natural
orifices (Table). A reassessment 24 hours later showed a generalized rash, predominantly in the perianal and facial area, with islands of normal skin on the trunk, follicular hyperkeratosis, and orange palmar-plantar keratoderma (Figures 1 and 2). Based on the characteristic clinical symptoms and the skin biopsy, acute postinfectious PRP was diagnosed. The patient was discharged 8 days later with topical treatment (0.1% triamcinolone acetonide in Lanette cream) and hydroxyzine. New lesions appeared after 1 week and she started oral therapy with acitretin capsules (0.6 mg/kg/d); the parents were advised to administer the medication in darkness, opening the capsule and sprinkling the content over a piece of toast with butter or creamy chocolate spread. After 2 months of treatment, only residual hypopigmented areas remained. The cumulative dose was 606 mg of acitretin over 5 months. After 1 year of follow-up, no new flare-ups had occurred and she was discharged from the clinic.

### Table. Most Relevant Clinical Data of the 4 Patients Diagnosed with Acute Postinfectious Pityriasis Rubra Pilaris

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
<th>Patient 3</th>
<th>Patient 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presenting complaint</td>
<td>Generalized rash</td>
<td>Erythodermia, fever, cold symptoms, diarrhea</td>
<td>Erythematous-desquamative rash, lack of response to oral corticosteroids or antihistamines</td>
<td>Generalized rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease history</td>
<td>Recurrent bronchitis and otitis, seborrheic dermatitis</td>
<td>None of interest</td>
<td>Erythema multiforme</td>
<td>None of interest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of infection before cutaneous symptoms</td>
<td>Yes (fever)</td>
<td>Yes, simultaneous onset</td>
<td>Yes (upper respiratory infections)</td>
<td>Yes (fever), ibuprofen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical examination</td>
<td>Good general health, erythema, very fine flaking, erythematous plaques. Palmar-plantar erythema and flaking in finger pads, vulvar, perianal, and intergluteal areas</td>
<td>Erythematous-desquamative plaques. Circumoral and periocular fissures, conjunctival purulent secretion, swollen lips, and thrush</td>
<td>Desquamative erythodermia, follicular papules, islands of healthy skin, orange-colored palmar-plantar keratoderma</td>
<td>Desquamative plaques, islands of healthy skin. Furfuraceous scaling on the scalp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional examinations</td>
<td>Laboratory workup: leukocytes 17 000/L/mm³ (52% N, 40% L), all other counts normal. Blood, skin, and mucosa cultures negative. Lymphocytic population study normal. Parvovirus B19 serology negative. Skin biopsy: suggestive of PRP</td>
<td>Laboratory workup: leukocytes 14 900/L/mm³ and AST 66 IU/mm³. Cultures: S aureus (exfoliative toxin B) in conjunctival exudate and enterovirus in stool culture</td>
<td>Skin biopsy: suggestive of PRP</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial diagnosis</td>
<td>SSSS in acute postinfectious PRP</td>
<td>SSSS</td>
<td>Acute postinfectious PRP</td>
<td>Acute postinfectious PRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial therapy</td>
<td>Cloxacillin po 250 mg/6 h, neomycin ointment</td>
<td>Cloxacillin iv, antipyretics, fusidic acid ointment</td>
<td>Topical emollients and salicylic acid</td>
<td>Hydroxyzine, methylprednisolone aceponate emulsion, oatmeal baths, coal tar shampoo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td>See text (clinical cases)</td>
<td>See text (clinical cases)</td>
<td>Cure (3 weeks), residual hypochromatic macules. No new flare-ups (1 year of follow-up)</td>
<td>Cure (5 weeks) residual hypochromatic macules. No new flare-ups (1 year of follow-up)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AST, aspartate aminotransferase; iv, intravenous route; L, lymphocytes; N, neutrophils; po, oral route; PRP, pityriasis rubra pilaris; SSSS, staphylococcal scalded skin syndrome.
Patient 2

An 18-month-old boy born in Russia and adopted 6 months previously was admitted for generalized rash, fever of 39°C, cold symptoms, and diarrhea. The lesions were first seen in the circumoral and periocular area, but advanced toward erythroderma of cephalocaudal progression. Because SSSS was initially suspected, intravenous cloxacillin, antipyretics, and topical fusidic acid in the periorificial areas were started.

One week later, the patient exhibited large dry, erythematous-desquamative plaques composed of micropapules located in the extensor area of the limbs, midfacial area, scalp, and back of the neck (Figure 3). All nails showed distal onycholysis, yellowish discoloration, and hyperkeratosis. The symptoms suggested acute postinfectious PRP. Prednicarbate cream, hydroxyzine, and coal tar baths were prescribed, and the patient was discharged 3 days later. Because the lesions persisted, 1 month later oral acitretin (0.5 mg/kg) was prescribed for 2 months and the symptoms improved. However, over the next 6 months, the patient experienced mild flare-ups of rash that coincided with diarrhea or cold symptoms, but always improved with oral amoxicillin–clavulanic acid. Eleven months later, he was asymptomatic, except for mild yellowish discoloration of the second left toenail.

Discussion

According to the Griffiths classification published in 1980, 5 forms of PRP are distinguishable, based on age of onset, course, clinical characteristics, and prognosis. The classic adult (type I) and classic juvenile (type III) forms differ only in the age of onset, and the course is more favorable in children compared with adults. Type III is the most common form in children and tends to recur. The circumscribed juvenile form (type IV) is a common form in children that is more limited in its extension and is characterized by the appearance of hyperkeratotic plaques on the elbows and knees. Type II is an atypical form in adults, and type V is the atypical juvenile form.
In 1983 Larrègue proposed a new subgroup, acute postinfectious pityriasis rubra pilaris. This form is morphologically indistinguishable from type III. Its distinctive characteristics are: a) no family history; b) onset during childhood, after the first year of life; c) presence of a previous infectious episode; d) scarlatiniform erythema, followed by the appearance of follicular papules weeks later; e) no laboratory abnormalities, except for those derived from the infectious process; f) clinical appearance similar to classic juvenile PRP; and g) acute course with good outcomes, although resolution may be slow, and no tendency toward recurrence.5,7,8

PRP is a keratinization disorder caused by accelerated epidermopoeisis. Nevertheless, the acute variant is remarkably similar at first to a superantigen-mediated disease, such as SSSS, scarlet fever, toxic shock syndrome, and Kawasaki disease.9 Common traits in all of these entities are raspberry tongue, shiny, chapped lips, flexural (particularly perineal) erythema followed by peeling, palmar-planter erythema, and generalized rash.10 In PRP cases, the usual lesions (follicular papules, islands of normal skin on the trunk, orange-colored palmar-planter keratoderma) appear days or weeks later. The epidermal hyperproliferative condition can be caused by a superantigen-mediated reaction triggered by a previous infection.

There is some evidence of a link between this form of PRP and superantigen-mediated diseases:

1. Similarity (particularly in the initial stages) with scarlet fever or staphylococcal scalding, already described by other authors.5,9 This is attributable to the release of a large quantity of cytokines often seen in these conditions. The subsequent development of typical PRP lesions may result from the inflammatory response acting on keratinocytes.17

2. Existence of variants of diseases that typically exhibit an inflammation-mediated keratinization disorder, such as psoriasis (in particular, guttate psoriasis) known to appear after bacterial infections. It has also been reported after Kawasaki disease.11

3. Existence of variants of diseases, such as Wong-type dermatomyositis, that initially present as a rash similar to PRP12,15 and have been related to an immunologic response to a parvovirus B19 infection.14,15

In conclusion, this form of PRP should be included in the differential diagnosis of diseases that are superantigen-mediated in their initial phase, from which it may be indistinguishable. We believe that this is due to massive cytokine release in the skin. In the second phase, it seems a more specific inflammatory response—arising from cross-reactivity with antigens of the trigger microorganism or idiosyncrasy—alters the differentiation of follicular and cutaneous epithelium (as occurs in hereditary PRPs) and causes the typical lesions.
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