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Background: The high rate of relapse of acne lesions following oral isotretinoin treatment is a common problem which remains unsolved. To avoid or minimize relapses, topical retinoids have been used for many years as maintenance therapy. However, adverse effects frequently occur.

Aims: To determine the efficacy and safety of a new retinoid combination (Retinsphere technology) in maintaining post-treatment response to oral isotretinoin.

Patients and methods: Prospective, randomized, double-blind and vehicle-controlled study of 30 patients with acne previously treated with isotretinoin. Treatment with the retinoid combination was applied to one side of the face and vehicle was applied to the other, once daily, for 3 months. Standardized photographs were taken using RBX technology at baseline, 1.5 months and 3 months. The primary efficacy endpoint was the appearance of relapse on the treated side compared to the vehicle-treated side. Other endpoints included lesion count, investigator-reported improvement, patient-reported improvement, impact on quality-of-life, and side effects.

Results: Although the majority of patients did not reach the total target dose of oral isotretinoin, the relapse rate was significantly lower on the retinoid-treated side compared to the vehicle-treated side. Likewise, improved lesion count and excellent tolerance were observed.

Conclusions: This new retinoid combination (Retinsphere technology) were effective and safe as maintenance therapy after post-treatment response to oral isotretinoin in patients with acne.

© 2014 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and AEDV. All rights reserved.
Introduction

Acne is a common complaint among adolescents, although onset can occur at other stages of life. In fact, acne affects a greater or lesser extent between 80 and 85% of individuals aged between 12 and 25 years and in addition to the potential physical discomfort (for example, pain, itching, superinfection, and scarring), serious psychological repercussions may occur as the face is often targeted by this disease.

We can classify acne into different types according to the predominant lesion, with classic forms including papulopustular, comedonal, and nodulocystic acne. There are several classes of therapeutic drugs used to tackle different types of acne. One of the most widely used medications is oral isotretinoin as it targets all levels of acne pathogenesis. According to expert consensus, the recommended dose is 0.3–0.5 mg/kg/d for moderate papulopustular or nodular acne and more than 0.5 mg/kg/d for at least 6 months (with a mean total cumulative dose of 120–150 mg/kg) for acne conglobata. Other authors, however, recommend lower or pulsed doses for longer periods in cases of moderate acne.

Regardless of the dosage regimen used, at the end of treatment most patients show substantial improvement in acne lesions, although this is coupled with erythema and deterioration in the quality of skin due to the extreme cutaneous dryness induced by the treatment. Moreover, recurrence of acne lesions following isotretinoin treatment remains an unsolved problem. According to recent studies, the percentage of patients with relapses is very variable and ranges between 10 and 61% depending on the cumulative dose, population characteristics, and duration of follow-up. There is some debate as to whether failure to reach the target dose leads to the early onset of acne relapse. To establish a basis for comparison of the mean relapse rate, the study with the greatest number of patients (17351), which described a mean relapse rate of 41%, can be taken as a reference. However, there are no specific data on relapse percentages over short periods of time after discontinuing isotretinoin administered at the standard dose of 120–150 mg/kg.

To avoid relapses after isotretinoin administration, topical retinoids have been used for many years in patients with acne due to their capacity to reduce hyperseborrhea (by inhibiting the proliferation and differentiation of sebocytes) and normalize keratinization. Some authors have suggested that their use at the end of treatment with oral isotretinoin may help prevent future relapses. Consequently, the main objective of the present study is to demonstrate that a new product, whose main component is Retinsphere technology, is effective for reducing acne relapses or maintaining the results obtained by acne patients after treatment with oral isotretinoin. Furthermore, we will determine tolerance to the new product in these patients, without the usual adverse events seen with other retinoids.
Materials and methods

A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled (vehicle) study was carried out on both sides of the face. Thirty-two patients were recruited. Treatment with the retinoid combination was applied on a split-face model, applying the active product to one side of the face and the vehicle to the other, once daily for 3 months.

Inclusion criteria

Patients greater than 14 years of age who had finished treatment with oral isotretinoin (including patients who had or had not reached the conventional target dose of 120–150 mg/kg) in the previous 6 months were included. Family and individual medical histories, previous treatments, weight, ingestion time of oral isotretinoin, time since discontinuation of oral isotretinoin, daily dose, total cumulative dose, and informed consent were recorded. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of our hospital.

Exclusion criteria

Patients less than 14 years of age and those with other concomitant dermatoses or sensitization to any of the components of the product were excluded from this study. Women not using a contraceptive method were also excluded from the study.

Treatment

The test product was a combination of 2 retinoids, retinol encapsulated in glycospheres and hydroxyquinacoline retinoate, marketed under the name Retinsphere Technology, in a base enriched with natural humectant factors. The treatment protocol included the application of a fingertip of this retinoid combination (Biretix gel [Industrial Farmacéutica Cantabria SA, Madrid, Spain]) to one side of the face and vehicle alone to the other side of the face at night, for 3 months. Thus, each patient acted as his or her own control. All patients and, if required, their legal guardian, signed the informed consent.

Randomization was performed by an independent statistician using the Random Function of standard computer software. The code, which established which side of the face to apply product or vehicle, was kept in a sealed envelope until the end of the study. Neither the patient nor the investigator was informed about the composition of the 2 creams, which had the same texture and odor and were only distinguishable by the color of the tube.

Clinical assessment

The assessments were carried out at baseline (T0) then after 1.5 months (T1) and 3 months (T2) by the same, blinded investigator. A physical examination was carried out together with a standard photographic record with RBX technology (Reveal, Canfield Inc., Fairfield, NJ, USA) on each visit.

Primary endpoint

The primary endpoint was relapse incidence after 3 months from treatment with oral isotretinoin, compared to the standard relapse incidence without post-isotretinoin treatment (vehicle). Given the lack of consensus on the definition of relapse and that hardly any patients had acne lesions at the start of the study (as they had recently finished treatment with isotretinoin), we defined relapse as an increase in the number of lesions (comedones, papules or pustules), that is, when one or more new lesions compared to T0 appeared on either side of the face (T2 > T0 = relapse).

Secondary endpoints

The following were secondary endpoints

- Lesion count
- Investigator’s Global Assessment (IGA): Validated scale. Scores ranged from 0 to 5, where 0 indicated absence of inflammatory and non-inflammatory lesions; 1, almost clear; 2, mild severity; 3, moderate severity; 4, severe; and 5, very severe.
- Quality-of-life: Improved quality-of-life of the patient measured by the Social Quality-of-Life (SQQOL) scale (0, no involvement to 27, intense involvement). There are 9 items (body perception, social aspects, emotional aspects, and sympotoms of acne). Each item is graded from 0 to 3 where 0 indicates no involvement; 1, mild involvement, 2, moderate involvement; and 3, intense involvement.
- Improvement perceived by the patient with the Patient Global Assessment scale, (PGA): scores ranged from 0 to 4 where 0 indicated deterioration or no change; 1, mild improvement; 2, moderate improvement; 3, great improvement; and 4, excellent improvement.
- Patient satisfaction with previous treatments and after the retinoid combination (0–10, where 0 indicated no satisfaction and 10, maximum satisfaction).
- Shine subjectively perceived by the investigator (0, nonexistent when no shine at all was perceived; 1, mild when slight degree of shine in some areas of the face was perceived but it was not intense; and 2, intense when a high degree of shine all over the face was perceived).
- Erythema subjectively perceived by the investigator (0, nonexistent when no erythema at all was perceived; 1, mild when some areas of the face showed redness; and 2, intense when almost all the face was red).
- Scaling subjectively perceived by the investigator (0, nonexistent when no desquamation was perceived; 1, mild when some grade of desquamation was perceived in some areas of the face; or 2, intense when rough desquamation was perceived in all the face).
- Hydration subjectively perceived by the investigator (0, nonexistent when all the face was dry; 1, mild when hydrated areas are mixed with dry ones; or 2, intense when no dry areas were perceived).
- Degree of improvement in scars (SCAR-S), according to the Goodman scale based on the morphology graded from 0 to 4, where 0 indicates absence of scars; 1, macular scars; 2, mild scars (depressions or millimetric papules);
### Table 1  Evolution of lesions after 3 months of maintenance treatment with the retinoid combination. The percentage of relapses on the treated and vehicle side are shaded in a darker color and are significantly greater on the vehicle side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Comedones</th>
<th>Papules</th>
<th>Pustules</th>
<th>Global count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treated side</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No changes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vehicle side</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relapse</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No changes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>26.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3, moderate (boxcar, deeper depressions or papular or hypertrophic scars); and 4, severe (ice pick, deep, dystrophic scars).

- Subjective adverse effects reported by the patient: scaling, itching, burning, erythema or dryness (0, non-existent; 1, mild; or 2, intense).

### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS v18.0 software (Chicago, IL, USA). Results are presented as mean (SD) values. The Wilcoxon nonparametric test for paired data, as the comparisons were paired both in time and between treatments. In the subsection where the isotretinoin dose was analyzed for influence on the response to the product compared to vehicle, the Mann–Whitney non-parametric test was used, as they are two independent groups. The percentages of patients with deterioration and improvement were calculated for each group, and the McNemar test was used to determine the statistical significance of the difference between 2 percentages.

Before initiating the study and based on previous studies, in which the number of lesions decreased 90% after 3 months, assuming 10% rate of recurrent or persistent lesions, the statistical software estimated a sample size of 30 patients, assuming an SD of 3. Statistical significance was set to $P \leq 0.05$.

### Results

Thirty-two patients who completed treatment with isotretinoin in the last year were included. Of these, 40.6% were male (mean age, 18.4 ± 5.2 years) and 59.4% female (mean age, 23.5 ± 5.6 years). Two patients did not complete the study due to work commitments.

The mean period from discontinuation of treatment with isotretinoin until initiation of the study was 2 months (range, 2 weeks to 6 months). Of the 30 patients who completed the study, 7 (23%) had reached the standard cumulative target dose of isotretinoin (mean dose for these patients, 147.71 mg/kg). Twenty-three of the 30 patients (77%) had not reached the target dose but had reached a mean of 68.34 mg/kg by the time they suspended oral isotretinoin due to the arrival of summer, intolerance or clearance of lesions. Thus, the majority of our patients had not reached the total cumulative target dose of 120–150 mg/kg.

### Relapses

The percentage of relapses on the side treated with the retinoid combination was almost 17% compared to 43% on the vehicle side; this difference was statistically significant ($P = 0.021$) (Table 1).

There were no statistically significant differences in the number of relapses (regardless of whether they were inflammatory or non-inflammatory lesions) between those who stopped treatment with isotretinoin after reaching the target dose and those who stopped due to the arrival of summer, and therefore did not reach the total cumulative dose (data not shown).

### Lesion count

The reduction in the overall number of lesions at visits T1 and T2 on the treated side was statistically significant compared to the baseline count ($P = 0.001$ and $P = 0.002$, respectively), whilst on the vehicle side it was only significant at T1 ($P = 0.001$) but not at T2 ($P = 0.08$). In the case of comedones, the final reduction (T2) in the mean number of comedones on the treated side was statistically significant when compared to baseline ($P = 0.006$) and so was the final reduction (T2) in the mean number of papules ($P = 0.004$). An increase (not significant) in the final number of pustules was observed on the treated side compared to the baseline count, whilst on the vehicle side a 5-fold increase at visit T2 compared to the number of baseline pustules was observed (Table 2).

When comparing the results of both sides, the average number of comedones on the treated side showed an almost 20% larger reduction at visit T2 compared with the vehicle side, although the difference was not statistically significant ($P = 0.21$). For papules on the treated compared to vehicle side, although the mean reduction on the treated side on visit T2 was almost 50% higher than that obtained with vehicle, the difference as not statistically significant (Table 3). The average total number of lesions was significantly lower on the side treated with the active product ($P = 0.05$) compared to vehicle-treated side (Table 3).
Table 2  Mean number of global lesions and individual lesions (comedones, papules and pustules) in each visit (T0, T1 and T2) on treated side and vehicle side. SD (standard deviation). Statistical significance (P) refers to the mean number of lesions during visits T1 and T2 compared to the basal visit (T0), showing the vehicle side and the treated side.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Vehicle side</th>
<th>Treated side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T0</td>
<td>T1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>36.12</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comedones</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>.005</td>
<td>.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papules</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>.04</td>
<td>.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pustules</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>.16</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical improvement

Degree of investigator-reported improvement, according to IGA

The mean IGA obtained at baseline was 2.2 (mild severity) on both sides of the face with no significant differences between them, while the IGA on finishing treatment at T2 on the side treated with retinoids was on average 1.4 (almost clear), significantly better than baseline (P<0.01), unlike the vehicle side where significant post-baseline changes were not observed (the mean severity at T2 was 2 [mild]; P=0.106).

The IGA improvement perceived by the investigator was demonstrated in 60% of the sides of the face treated with the product versus 30% of the sides of the face treated with vehicle.

Patient-reported improvement

The mean quality-of-life score, as measured by the SOQOL scale at baseline (T0) after discontinuation of isotretinoin treatment, was 3.8 (n=27) while the impact after topical treatment with the study product further improved this quality-of-life data by reducing the SOQOL score to 1.8 (n=27) at T2, after 3 months. The increase in overall satisfaction indicated an improvement in the perception of skin appearance described by the patient.

Overall, 90% of patients who received treatment experienced some degree of improvement during the treatment and almost 50% showed good or excellent improvement.

Investigator-reported skin quality and cutaneous tolerance

There were no differences between either side of the face in the scoring of shineness or scaling, either at the beginning or the end of the treatment. The mean baseline hydration was 0.53 (between non-existent and mild) with no differences between treated and untreated sides of the face. At T2, significant differences were observed (P=0.02) with a score of 1.3 (mild) on the side treated with the combination of retinoids versus 0.2 (non-existent) on the side treated with vehicle. In the case of erythema, on T2 the mean erythema showed no significant differences between either treatments indicating an excellent tolerance to retinoids.

Patient perception

No significant differences were registered at any time regarding subjective discomfort perceived by the patient.

Table 3  Comparison at the end of the study (T2) between the vehicle side and the side treated with product, showing significant differences in the mean number of global lesions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vehicle side</td>
<td>Treated side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comedones</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papules</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pustules</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vehicle side</td>
<td>Treated side</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comedones</td>
<td>.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papules</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pustules</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Interestingly, topical described as defined but their 5,6 likewise, Assessment improving sample (4/16) treatment. statistically (77%) individual by isotretinoin. demonstrating early maintenance may appear discontinuation acne study, isotretinoin, associated acne, and topically, reducing comedones, follicular keratinization, and measures of seborrhea and acne severity according to the Global Acne Grading System with few side effects, all mild. The ester of retinoic acid, hydroxyphenicol retinoate, acts in a similar way to tretinoin but does not cause the irritation observed with this retinoid. Recently, Veraldi showed that the use of this new combination for 2 weeks in patients improved skin roughness by 50% and scaling by 40%. Unlike retinol and other derivatives that need to be converted to the biologically active form of retinoic acid, hydroxyphenicol retinoate binds directly to retinoic acid receptors.

Some limitations of the study were that the time lapse between finishing oral isotretinoin and starting with topical treatment was very variable, ranging from 2 weeks to 6 months, possibly leading to increased variability of the results. In addition, the patients had received different total doses of oral isotretinoin. These limitations hinder assessment of whether the emergence of relapses is related to the total cumulative dose and/or to the small sample size.

The importance of maintenance treatment with retinoids is noteworthy from a psychological standpoint, as it helps to sustain an improved quality-of-life after use of oral isotretinoin, as well as the perception of improvement and satisfaction described by the patient.

Conclusions

Avoidance of a relapse after treatment with isotretinoin, especially if the target dose is not reached, has not been studied in depth. To prevent such relapses, this new retinoid combination has proven effective and is able to significantly improve acne lesions compared with baseline and with treatment with vehicle. In conclusion, the use of topical retinoids, after suspending isotretinoin either in the initial months or during the post-treatment year, when relapse rates are higher, could be a protective factor to prevent relapse, with efficacy and tolerance statistically demonstrated in this and previous studies. Likewise, this study confirms that maintenance therapy with retinoids after discontinuation of oral isotretinoin with residual acne lesions can reduce both non-inflammatory and inflammatory lesions.

Retinsphere technology has proven effective and safe in the maintenance treatment after oral isotretinoin in
patients with acne, although future studies with a longer follow-up period may confirm the results observed.
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