

## Research Letter

## Eruptive Verrucous Keratoses and Melanocytic Nevi Induced by Encorafenib Plus Cetuximab in a Patient With Metastatic Colorectal Cancer

A. Ferreirinha <sup>a,\*</sup>, P.M. Garrido<sup>b</sup>, C. Moura<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Dermatology and Venereology Department, Hospital de Santo António dos Capuchos, Centro Hospitalar Universitário de Lisboa Central, Lisbon, Portugal<sup>b</sup> Dermatology and Venereology Department, Instituto Português de Oncologia de Lisboa, Lisbon, Portugal

## To the Editor,

BRAF inhibitors with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) inhibitors are increasingly being deployed as a second-line therapy of BRAF V600E-mutant metastatic colorectal cancer (mCRC).<sup>1</sup> A well-reported side effect of BRAF inhibitors monotherapy is the development of melanocytic proliferations and cutaneous squamoproliferative lesions, such as squamous cell carcinomas, keratoacanthomas and verrucous papillomas.<sup>2,3</sup> Eruptive nevi have been reported as a side effect of combining encorafenib and cetuximab.<sup>4,5</sup> However, epithelial proliferations have not been documented with this association.

We report a case of eruptive verrucous keratoses and melanocytic nevi following treatment with encorafenib plus cetuximab for mCRC.

A 60-year-old woman had been treated for BRAF-mutated metastatic colorectal cancer. She had undergone 5 cycles of chemotherapy with folinic acid, fluorouracil, and oxaliplatin (FOLFOX) plus bevacizumab, followed by left hemicolectomy and liver metastasectomy. Due to progressive disease, second-line combination therapy with encorafenib and cetuximab was started. Two months later, she was referred to our department after growing multiple wart-like lesions and melanocytic nevi on her upper body. Physical examination revealed 5 yellow-white, hyperkeratotic papules measuring 2–6 mm in diameter, round to filiform in shape, scattered over the face, neck, and shoulders. Multiple melanocytic nevi were also observed on the back (Fig. 1(a)–(c)). Histopathology showed features of verrucous keratosis without atypical keratinocytes. Two months later, the patient returned for follow-up and exhibited 6 new verrucous hyperkeratotic papules, with similar histopathology. The melanocytic nevi remained stable.

BRAF inhibitor-associated verrucous keratosis (BAVK) are benign lesions that occur in up to 60% of patients on BRAF monotherapy and have been associated with an increased risk of squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthoma.<sup>2,3,6</sup> Although eruptive melanocytic nevi are less common, occurring in 10% of the patients, they have been well-documented in this context.<sup>2,3,7</sup> The malignant potential of melanocytic proliferation remains uncertain, although cases of secondary primary melanoma have been reported during BRAF inhibitor monotherapy.<sup>2</sup>

The use of BRAF inhibitors has expanded beyond melanoma, now serving as a cancer target across various cancer types such as non-small-cell lung cancer, anaplastic thyroid carcinoma and hairy cell leukemia. They have been explored both as monotherapy and in combination with MEK inhibition in BRAF V600-mutant cancers and, recently, with EGFR inhibition in colorectal cancer. Despite promising results in monotherapy trials, the development of resistance and adverse drug effects hinders their widespread use.<sup>3,8</sup>

The inhibition of BRAF paradoxically activates the MAPK pathway in wild-type-BRAF cells, leading to keratinocyte and melanocytic proliferation in normal skin.<sup>3,8</sup>

Clinical trials, mainly on metastatic melanoma, showed that the addition of MEK inhibitors demonstrates a protective effect against the onset of verrucous keratosis, resulting in a drop in frequency down to 0–7%.<sup>6,8,9</sup> This protective effect extends to melanocytic proliferation, although specific frequency details are currently lacking in the literature.<sup>6</sup> Data on the use of these therapies in other malignancies, including colorectal cancer, are currently lacking, despite their widespread use.

Although EGFR inhibitors may similarly promote proliferation through paradoxical activation of the MAPK pathway,<sup>10</sup> no cases of cetuximab-induced squamoproliferative or melanocytic lesions have been reported, despite its widespread use in various malignancies. Therefore, in the present case, the eruptive verrucous keratosis and melanocytic nevi would be induced by encorafenib rather than cetuximab.

In conclusion, we report a case of eruptive verrucous keratosis and melanocytic nevi due to encorafenib plus cetuximab therapy. In our case, therapy was continued despite the new BAVK lesions, but due to the risk of association with squamous cell carcinoma and keratoacanthomas, we recommend close follow-up. Encorafenib plus cetuximab, with or without binimetinib, has demonstrated a similar overall survival benefit in previously treated patients with BRAF-mutated mCRC,<sup>1</sup> suggesting that the combination without a MEK inhibitor will be used more frequently and may lead to a reemergence of these adverse effects. Awareness of these side effects and a thorough dermatological assessment before and during treatment with BRAF inhibitors is highly recommended.

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [anaferreirinha@gmail.com](mailto:anaferreirinha@gmail.com) (A. Ferreirinha).



**Fig. 1.** (a) Clinical signs of eruptive BRAF inhibitor-associated verrucous keratosis: yellow-white hyperkeratotic, 2–6 mm in size, rounded or filiform papules, scattered around the upper body; (b) Multiple new-onset melanocytic nevi on the back; (c) Dermoscopy of BRAF inhibitor-associated verrucous keratosis: yellow-white hyperkeratotic filiform papule.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

## References

1. Tabernero J, Grothey A, Van Cutsem E, et al. Encorafenib plus cetuximab as a new standard of care for previously treated BRAF V600E-mutant metastatic colorectal cancer: updated survival results and subgroup analyses from the BEACON study. *J Clin Oncol*. 2021;39:273–284, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.20.02088>.
2. Zimmer L, Hillen U, Livingstone E, et al. Atypical melanocytic proliferations and new primary melanomas in patients with advanced melanoma undergoing selective BRAF inhibition. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012;30:2375–2383, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2011.41.1660>.
3. Anforth R, Fernandez-Peñas P, Long GV. Cutaneous toxicities of RAF inhibitors. *Lancet Oncol*. 2013;14:e11–e18, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045\(12\)70413-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1470-2045(12)70413-8).
4. Alfalouji Y, Spencer A, Calonje E, et al. Eruptive naevi associated with encorafenib for metastatic colorectal cancer: two cases. *Clin Exp Dermatol*. 2022;47:1857–1858, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ced.15276>.
5. Mikami H, Akasaka E, Nakano H, Sawamura D. Eruptive melanocytic nevi associated with encorafenib and cetuximab combination therapy. *J Dermatol*. 2023;50:e173–e174, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/1346-8138.16701>.
6. Carlos G, Anforth R, Clements A, et al. Cutaneous toxic effects of BRAF inhibitors alone and in combination with MEK inhibitors for metastatic melanoma. *JAMA Dermatol*. 2015;151:1103–1109, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/jamadermatol.2015.1745>.
7. Boada A, Carrera C, Segura S, et al. Cutaneous toxicities of new treatments for melanoma. *Clin Transl Oncol*. 2018;20:1373–1384, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12094-018-1891-7>.
8. Long GV, Stroyakovskiy D, Gogas H, et al. Combined BRAF and MEK inhibition versus BRAF inhibition alone in melanoma. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371:1877–1888, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1406037>.
9. Russo I, Zorretto L, Frigo AC, Chiarion Sileri V, Alaibac M. A comparative study of the cutaneous side effects between BRAF monotherapy and BRAF/MEK inhibitor combination therapy in patients with advanced melanoma: a single-centre experience. *Eur J Dermatol*. 2017;27:482–486, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1684/ejd.2017.3069>.
10. Corcoran RB, Ebi H, Turke AB, et al. EGFR-mediated re-activation of MAPK signaling contributes to insensitivity of BRAF mutant colorectal cancers to RAF inhibition with vemurafenib. *Cancer Discov*. 2012;2:227–235, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1158/2159-8290.CD-11-0341>.